arXiv:1602.03554v1 [math.RA] 16 Jan 2016

A new Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras*

Lili Ni and Yuqun Chen†
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
nilili2009@163.com
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn

Abstract: Let $C(B, N)$ be the free associative conformal algebra generated by a set B with a bounded locality N. Let S be a subset of $C(B, N)$. A Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras is firstly established by Bokut, Fong, and Ke in 2004 [9] which claims that if (i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$, then (ii) the set of S-irreducible words is a linear basis of the quotient conformal algebra $C(B, N|S)$, but not conversely. In this paper, by introducing some new definitions of normal S-words, compositions and compositions to be trivial, we give a new Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras which makes the conditions (i) and (ii) equivalent. We show that for each ideal I of $C(B, N)$, I has a unique reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis. As applications, we show that Loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra and Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra are embeddable into their universal enveloping associative conformal algebras.

Key words: conformal algebra, free associative conformal algebra, Gröbner-Shirshov basis, universal enveloping algebra

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 17B69, 16S15, 13P10, 08A50

*Supported by the NNSF of China (11171118, 11571121).
†Corresponding author.

1 Introduction

The subject of conformal algebras is closely related to vertex algebras (see, V. Kac [26]). Implicitly, vertex algebras were introduced by Belavin, Polyakov, and Zamolodchikov in 1984 [2]. Explicitly, the definition of vertex algebras was given by R. Borcherds in 1986 [14], which led to his solution of the Conway-Norton conjecture in the theory of finite simple groups [15, 24]. As pointed out by Kac [26, 27], conformal and vertex algebras provide a rigorous mathematical study of the locality axiom which came from Wightmans axioms of quantum field theory [33]. M. Roitman studied free (Lie and associative) conformal and vertex algebras in [29]. Free vertex algebras were mentioned in the original paper of Borcherds [14]. Since conformal and vertex algebras are not varieties in the sense of universal algebra (see, P.M. Cohn [20]), the existence of free conformal and free vertex algebras is not guaranteed by the general theory and should be proved. It was done by Roitman [29]. The free associative conformal algebra generated by a set B with a locality function $N(-,-): B \times B \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is constructed by Bokut, Fong, and Ke in 1997 [7].

Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases were invented independently by A.I. Shirshov for ideals of free (commutative, anti-commutative) non-associative algebras [31, 32], free Lie algebras [32] and implicitly free associative algebras [32] (see also [3, 4]), by H. Hironaka [25] for ideals of the power series algebras (both formal and convergent), and by B. Buchberger [16] for ideals of the polynomial algebras. Gröbner bases and Gröbner-Shirshov bases theories have been proved to be very useful in different branches of mathematics, including commutative algebra and combinatorial algebra. It is a powerful tool to solve the following classical problems: normal form; word problem; conjugacy problem; rewriting system; automaton; embedding theorem; PBW theorem; extension; homology; growth function; Dehn function; complexity; etc. See, for example, the books [1, 13, 17, 18, 21, 22] and the surveys [5, 6, 10–12].

In Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for a category of algebras, the key part is to establish "Composition-Diamond lemma" for such algebras. The name "Composition-Diamond lemma" combines the Neuman Diamond Lemma [28], the Shirshov Composition Lemma [31] and the Bergman Diamond Lemma [3].

Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative conformal algebras is firstly established by Bokut, Fong, and Ke in 2004 [9], i.e., they give a Composition-

Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras.

Let $C(B,N)$ be the free associative conformal algebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 generated by a set B with a bounded locality N. Let $S \subset C(B,N)$ be a monic subset of polynomials and $Id(S)$ be the ideal of $C(B,N)$ generated by S. A normal word $[u]$ is said to be S-irreducible if $u \neq [v]_{D^i s}$, where $[v]_{D^i s}$ is any normal S-word. Let $Irr(S)$ be the set of all S-irreducible words. Consider the following statements:

(i) The set S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,N)$.

(ii) $Irr(S)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $C(B,N|S) = C(B,N)/Id(S)$.

In [9], it is shown that $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ but $(ii) \not\Rightarrow (i)$.

In this paper, by introducing some new definitions of normal S-words, compositions and compositions to be trivial, we give a new Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras which makes the two conditions above equivalent, see Theorem 3.19. We show that for each ideal I of $C(B,N)$, I has a unique reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis. Of course, our definition of a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,N)$ is different from one in [9]. As applications, we give a characterization of a conformal algebra $C(B,N|S)$ to have a $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis under some conditions, see Proposition 4.1, and PBW theorems: Loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra and Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra are embeddable into their universal enveloping associative conformal algebras, respectively, see Examples 4.4 and 4.5.

We are grateful to Professor L.A. Bokut for guidance and to Mr. Zerui Zhang for useful discussions.

2 Preliminary

We begin with the formal definition of a conformal algebra.

Definition 2.1 *([7, 8, 10]) A conformal algebra $C = (C, (n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, D)$ is a linear space over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0, equipped with bilinear multiplications $a_{(n)}b$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, and a linear map D, such that the following axioms are valid:*

(C1) (locality) For any $a, b \in C$, there exists a nonnegative integer $N(a,b)$ such that $a_{(n)}b = 0$ for $n \geq N(a,b)$ ($N(a,b)$ is called the ordering of locality of a and b).

(C2) $D(a_{(n)}b) = Da_{(n)}b + a_{(n)}Db$ *for any* $a, b \in C$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(C3) $Da_{(n)}b = -na_{(n-1)}b$ *for any* $a, b \in C,\ n > 0$ *and* $Da_{(0)}b = 0$.

A conformal algebra C *is called associative if the following identity holds for all* $a, b, c \in C,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

- *(Associative identity)*

$$(a_{(n)}b)_{(m)}c = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n}{t} a_{(n-t)}(b_{(m+t)}c).$$

A conformal algebra $L = \langle L, [n], n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, D \rangle$ *is called a Lie conformal algebra if* L *satisfies the following two axioms:*

- *(Anti-commutativity)* $a_{[n]}b = -\{b_{[n]}a\}$, *where*

$$\{b_{[n]}a\} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{1}{k!} D^k (b_{[n+k]}a).$$

- *(Jacobi identity)*

$$(a_{[n]}b)_{[m]}c = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (a_{[n-k]}(b_{[m+k]}c) - b_{[m+k]}(a_{[n-k]}c)).$$

Let B be a set. An associative conformal algebra

$$C(B, N) = (B;\ a_{(n)}b = 0,\ a, b \in B,\ n \geq N(a, b))$$

is called the free associative conformal algebra generated by B with the locality function $N : B \times B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, if for any associative conformal algebra C and any maping $\varepsilon : B \longrightarrow C$ with $\varepsilon(a)_{(n)}\varepsilon(b) = 0$ for all $a, b \in B$ and $n \geq N(a, b)$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\varphi : C(B, N) \to C$ such that the following diagram is commutative:



where i is the inclusion map.

Let $D^\omega(B) = \{D^i b \mid b \in B,\ i \geq 0\}$. We define (non-associative) words on $D^\omega(B)$ inductively:

i) For any $b \in B$ and $i \geq 0$, $D^i b$ is a word of length 1.

ii) If (u) and (v) are two words of lengths k and l, respectively, then $(u)_{(n)}(v)$ is a word of length $k+l$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For any word (u) on $D^\omega(B)$, we denote its length by $|u|$. A word (u) is a normal word if it has the form:

$$(u) = b_{1(n_1)}(b_{2(n_2)} \cdots (b_{k(n_k)} D^i b_{k+1}) \cdots) \quad \text{(right normed bracketing)},$$

where $b_{k+1}, b_j \in B,\ 0 \leq n_j < N(b_j, b_{j+1}),\ 1 \leq j \leq k,\ k \geq 0,\ i \geq 0$. If this is the case, then denote by $ind(u) = i$.

Lemma 2.2 *([7], Lemma 2.3) Any word (u) (on $D^\omega(B)$) is a linear combination of normal words of the same length $|u|$.*

The free associative conformal algebra $C(B, N)$ generated by a set B with a locality function $N(-,-)$ is constructed in the paper [7]. In [7, 29], it was proved that there is a linear basis of $C(B, N)$ consisting of normal words, see [7], Theorem 2.5.

Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for free associative conformal algebras with a uniform bounded locality function N is established in the paper [9]. In this paper, we only consider the situation when the locality function $N(b, b'), b, b' \in B$ is uniformly bounded by some number N. Then without loss of generality, we assume that $N(b, b') = N$ for all $b, b' \in B$.

Let $C(B, N)$ be the free associative conformal algebra generated by a set B with a bounded locality N. A normal word is an expression of the form

$$[u] = b_{1(n_1)}(b_{2(n_2)} \cdots (b_{k(n_k)} D^i b_{k+1}) \cdots) \tag{1}$$

where $b_{k+1}, b_j \in B,\ 0 \leq n_j < N,\ 1 \leq j \leq k,\ k, i \geq 0$ and $|u| = k+1$. Here and after, $[u]$ would mean the right normed bracketing. A normal word without brackets

$$u = b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i b_{k+1} \tag{2}$$

is referred to as an associative normal word. Denote

$$T := \{b_{1(n_1)} b_{2(n_2)} \cdots b_{k(n_k)} D^i b_{k+1} \mid b_{k+1}, b_j \in B,\ 0 \leq n_j < N,\ 1 \leq j \leq k,\ k, i \geq 0\}.$$

Let $u = b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}D^i b_{k+1},\ v = b'_{1(n'_1)}b'_{2(n'_2)}\cdots b'_{t(n'_t)}D^j b'_{t+1} \in T$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} uD^l &:= b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}D^{i+l}b_{k+1}, \\ u^{\backslash D} &:= b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}b_{k+1}, \\ u^{\backslash D}\natural v &:= b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}b_{k+1(N-1)}b'_{1(N-1)}b'_{2(N-1)}\cdots b'_{t(N-1)}D^j b'_{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Any polynomial $f \in C(B,N)$ is a linear combination of normal words. We shall refer to normal word $[u]$ as D-free if $ind(u) = 0$, and say that f is D-free if every monomial word in f is D-free. Let $S \subseteq C(B,N)$. Then S is said to be D-free if all polynomials in S are D-free.

3 Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras

Let B be a well-ordered set. We order the words of the forms (1) and (2) according to the lexicographical ordering of their weights (see [9]).

Let $u = b_{1(n_1)}b_{2(n_2)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}D^i b_{k+1} \in T$. Denote

$$wt(u) = (|u|, b_1, n_1, \cdots, b_k, n_k, b_{k+1}, i).$$

Then for any $u, v \in T$, $[u] > [v] \Leftrightarrow u > v$, where

$$u > v \Leftrightarrow wt(u) > wt(v) \qquad \text{lexicographically.} \tag{3}$$

It is clear that such an ordering is a well ordering on T. We will use this ordering in the sequel. For convenience, we define $u > 0$ for any $u \in T$.

Given $f \in C(B,N)$, we denote by $\bar{f}$ the leading associative normal word in f with respect to the ordering (3) and say f monic if the coefficient of $\bar{f}$ is 1. In particular, we denote $\bar{0} = 0$. A subset S of $C(B,N)$ is said monic if for any $s \in S$, s is monic.

Lemma 3.1 *([9]) Suppose that $a \in T$ is D-free, $0 \le n < N$ and $u, v \in T$. Then*

(i) $\overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} = a_{(n)}u$.

(ii) $u > v \Longrightarrow \overline{[a]_{(n)}[u]} > \overline{[a]_{(n)}[v]}$.

(iii) $\overline{D^i([u])} = uD^i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(iv) $u > v \Longrightarrow \overline{D^i([u])} > \overline{D^i([v])}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Note that the ordering $>$ on T is not compatible with the multiplications, for example, in $C(\{a\}, N = 1)$, we have $a_{(0)}Da > a_{(0)}a$, but

$$0 = (a_{(0)}Da)_{(0)}a < (a_{(0)}a)_{(0)}a = a_{(0)}(a_{(0)}a).$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let $[u]$ and $[v]$ be normal words. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\overline{[u]_{(n)}[v]} \leq u^{\backslash_D} \natural v$.*

Proof. Induction on $(|u|, |v|, n)$, where the ordering is lexicographically.

Case 1 $|u| = |v| = 1$. Suppose $[u] = D^i b$ for some $b \in B$ and $i \geq 0$. Then $D^i b_{(n)}[v] = (-1)^i \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} b_{(n-i)}[v]$. So it suffices to consider $i = 0$. If $0 \leq n < N$, then $b_{(n)}[v]$ is a normal word. Thus we have $b_{(n)}[v] \leq b \natural v$. Now let $n \geq N$. Assume that $v = D^j b'$ for some $b' \in B$, $j \geq 0$. If $j = 0$, then $b_{(n)}b' = 0$. If $j > 0$, then $b_{(n)}D^j b' = -\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \binom{j}{k} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} b_{(n-k)} D^{j-k} b'$. We can get $\overline{b_{(n)}D^j b'} \leq b \natural D^j b'$ by induction on n.

This shows that the result holds for $(|u|, |v|, n) = (1, 1, n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Case 2 $|u| = 1$ and $|v| > 1$. Assume that $[v] = b'_{(m)}[v_1]$. Then

$$b_{(n)}(b'_{(m)}[v_1]) = -\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} b_{(n-k)}(b'_{(m+k)}[v_1]).$$

By induction, we have $\overline{b'_{(t)}[v_1]} \leq b' \natural v_1$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $\overline{b_{(n)}(b'_{(m)}[v_1])} \leq b_{(N-1)}b' \natural v_1 = b \natural v$.

Case 3 $|u| > 1$. Let $[u] = b_{(m)}[u_1]$ for some $b \in B, u_1 \in T$. Then

$$[b_{(m)}u_1]_{(n)}[v] = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} b_{(m-k)}([u_1]_{(n+k)}[v]).$$

By induction, we have $\overline{[u_1]_{(n+k)}[v]} \leq u_1^{\backslash_D} \natural v$. Hence $\overline{[u]_{(n)}[v]} = b_{(m)}(\overline{[u_1]_{(n)}[v]}) \leq b_{(m)} u_1^{\backslash_D} \natural v = u^{\backslash_D} \natural v$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 *Suppose that $u, v \in T$, $ind(u) = 0$, $u > v$ and $0 \leq n < N$. Then for any $w \in T$, the following statements hold.*

(i) $u_{(n)}w > v^{\backslash D}\natural w$.

(ii) $\overline{[u]_{(n)}[w]} > \overline{[v]_{(n)}[w]}$.

Proof. (i) Since $u > v$ and u is D-free, we have $u > v^{\backslash D}$. Thus $u_{(n)}w > v^{\backslash D}\natural w$ by the definition of ordering (3).

(ii) By Lemma 3.2, we have $\overline{[v]_{(n)}[w]} \leq v^{\backslash D}\natural w$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\overline{[u]_{(n)}[w]} = u_{(n)}w$. Hence $\overline{[u]_{(n)}[w]} > \overline{[v]_{(n)}[w]}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 *For any* $n \geq 0$, $u \in T$, $f \in C(B, N)$, *we have* $\overline{f_{(n)}[u]} \leq \bar{f}^{\backslash D}\natural u$.

Proof. If $f = 0$ then the result is clear. Let $0 \neq f = \alpha[\bar{f}] + \sum \alpha_t[v_t]$, where $\alpha, \alpha_t \in \mathbf{k}$, $\bar{f}, v_t \in T$ and $v_t < \bar{f}$. Then we have $f_{(n)}[u] = \alpha[\bar{f}]_{(n)}[u] + \sum \alpha_t[v_t]_{(n)}[u]$ and $v_t^{\backslash D} \leq \bar{f}^{\backslash D}$. Thus $v_t^{\backslash D}\natural u \leq \bar{f}^{\backslash D}\natural u$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\overline{[\bar{f}]_{(n)}[u]} \leq \bar{f}^{\backslash D}\natural u$ and $\overline{[v_t]_{(n)}[u]} \leq v_t^{\backslash D}\natural u$. Hence $\overline{f_{(n)}[u]} \leq \bar{f}^{\backslash D}\natural u$. $\square$

Now we define S-words and normal S-words.

Let $S \subset C(B, N)$ be a set of monic polynomials. We define S-words $(u)_{D^i s}$ by induction.

(i) $(D^i s)_{D^i s} = D^i s$, where $s \in S$ and $i \geq 0$, is an S-word of S-length 1.

(ii) If $(u)_{D^i s}$ is an S-word of S-length k, and (v) is any word of length l, then $(u)_{D^i s}{}_{(m)}(v)$ and $(v)_{(m)}(u)_{D^i s}$ are S-words of S-length $k + l$.

The S-length of an S-word $(u)_{D^i s}$ will be denoted by $|u|_{D^i s}$.

Definition 3.5 *Let* $S \subset C(B, N)$ *be a set of monic polynomials. An associative normal* S*-word is an expression of the following forms:*

$$u_s = a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c \tag{4}$$

where $s \in S$, $a, c \in T$ *(*a *may be empty) with* a *and* $\bar{s}$ *being* D*-free,* $0 \leq n, m < N$*; or*

$$u_{D^i s} = a_{(n)}D^i s \tag{5}$$

where $s \in S, i \geq 0, a \in T$ *(*a *may be empty) is* D*-free,* $0 \leq n < N$.

Note that in (4) and (5), if a is empty, then (4) means $u_s = s_{(m)}c$ and (5) means $u_{_{D^i s}} = D^i s$. From now on, $a = 1$ means that a is empty.

For convenience, we call associative normal S-words (4) and (5) the first kind and the second kind associative normal S-words, respectively, while

$$[u]_{_s} = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] \quad \text{and} \quad [u]_{_{D^i s}} = [a_{(n)}D^i s] \tag{6}$$

will be referred to normal S-words of the first kind and the second kind, respectively. A common notation for (6) is $[u]_{_{D^i s}}$ as both two kinds of normal S-words, i.e.,

$$[u]_{_{D^i s}} = \begin{cases} [s_{(m)}c], & ind(\bar{s}) = 0, \\ [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c], & ind(\bar{s}) = 0, \\ D^i s, & \\ [a_{(n)}D^i s], & \end{cases}$$

where $s \in S$, $a, c \in T$, a is D-free, $i \geq 0$, $0 \leq n, m < N$.

From now on, we always assume that $S \subset C(B, N)$ is a set of monic polynomials.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $[u]_{_{D^i s}}$ be a normal S-word. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) If $[u]_{_{D^i s}} = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]$, then $\overline{[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]} = a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}c$.

(ii) If $[u]_{_{D^i s}} = [a_{(n)}D^i s]$, then $\overline{[a_{(n)}D^i s]} = a_{(n)}\bar{s}D^i$.

Proof. Let $s = [\bar{s}] + \sum \alpha_{_t}[u_{_t}]$, where $\bar{s}, u_{_t} \in T$ and $u_{_t} < \bar{s}$. Then

(i) $[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] = [a_{(n)}[\bar{s}]_{(m)}c] + \sum \alpha_{_t}[a_{(n)}[u_{_t}]_{(m)}c]$. By Corollary 3.3, we have $\overline{[u_{_t}]_{(m)}[c]} < \overline{[\bar{s}]_{(m)}[c]}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\overline{[\bar{s}]_{(m)}[c]} = \bar{s}_{(m)}c$. Therefore $\overline{[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]} = a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}c$.

(ii) $[a_{(n)}D^i s] = [a_{(n)}D^i[\bar{s}]] + \sum \alpha_{_t}[a_{(n)}D^i[u_{_t}]]$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\overline{D^i[\bar{s}]} = \bar{s}D^i$ and $\overline{D^i[u_{_t}]} = u_{_t}D^i$. Since $u_{_t} < \bar{s}$, we have $u_{_t}D^i < \bar{s}D^i$. Hence $\overline{[a_{(n)}D^i s]} = a_{(n)}\bar{s}D^i$. $\square$

Lemma 3.7 *Let $[u]_{_{D^i s}}$ be a normal S-word. Then for any $j > 0$, the following statements hold.*

(i) If $[u]_{_{D^i s}} = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]$, then $D^j[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}cD^j] + \sum \alpha_{_t}[a_{_{t(n_t)}}s_{(m_t)}c_t]$, where each $[a_{_{t(n_t)}}s_{(m_t)}c_t]$ is a normal S-word, $a_{_{t(n_t)}}\bar{s}_{(m_t)}c_t < a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}cD^j$.

(ii) If $[u]_{D^i s} = [a_{(n)}D^i s]$, *then* $D^j[a_{(n)}D^i s] = [a_{(n)}D^{i+j}s] + \sum \alpha_t [a_{t(n_t)}D^{l_t}s]$, *where each* $[a_{t(n_t)}D^{l_t}s]$ *is a normal* S*-word,* $a_{t(n_t)}\bar{s}D^{l_t} < a_{(n)}\bar{s}D^{i+j}$.

Proof. (i) If $a = 1$, then $D^j[s_{(m)}c] = \sum_{t\geq 0}(-1)^t\binom{j}{t}\frac{m!}{(m-t)!}s_{(m-t)}D^{j-t}[c]$. We assume that $D^{j-t}[c] = [cD^{j-t}] + \sum \beta_{t_k}[w_{t_k}]$ for any $t \geq 0$ by Lemmas 3.1 and 2.2, where $w_{t_k} \in T$ and $w_{t_k} < cD^{j-t}$. Then

$$D^j[s_{(m)}c] = s_{(m)}[cD^j] + \sum_{t\geq 1}\alpha_t s_{(m-t)}[cD^{j-t}] + \sum_{t\geq 0}\alpha_t\beta_{t_k}s_{(m-t)}[w_{t_k}],$$

where $\alpha_t = (-1)^t\binom{j}{t}\frac{m!}{(m-t)!}$. It is obvious that $\bar{s}_{(m)}w_{0_k} < \bar{s}_{(m)}cD^j$ and $\bar{s}_{(m-t)}w_{t_k} < \bar{s}_{(m)}cD^j$, $\bar{s}_{(m-t)}cD^{j-t} < \bar{s}_{(m)}cD^j$ for any $1 \leq t \leq min\{m, j\}$.

Now let $|a| \geq 1$ and $a = b_{1(n_1)}a_1$ for $b_1 \in B$. Denote for simplicity that $[v]_s = [a_{1(n)}s_{(m)}c]$. Then $[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] = b_{1(n_1)}[v]_s$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D^j(b_{1(n_1)}[v]_s) &= \sum_{t\geq 0}(-1)^t\binom{j}{t}\frac{n_1!}{(n_1-t)!}b_{1(n_1-t)}D^{j-t}[v]_s \\ &= b_{1(n_1)}D^j[v]_s + \sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{j}{t}\frac{n_1!}{(n_1-t)!}b_{1(n_1-t)}D^{j-t}[v]_s. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on $|a|$, (i) follows.

(ii) If $a = 1$, then $D^j(D^i s) = D^{i+j}s$ is already a normal S-word. Let $|a| \geq 1$. We may assume that $a = b_{1(n_1)}a_1$ for some $b_1 \in B$. Denote for simplicity that $[u_1]_{D^i s} = [a_{1(n)}D^i s]$. Then $[a_{(n)}D^i s] = b_{1(n_1)}[u_1]_{D^i s}$ and

$$D^j(b_{1(n_1)}[u_1]_{D^i s}) = \sum_{t\geq 0}(-1)^t\binom{j}{t}\frac{n_1!}{(n_1-t)!}b_{1(n_1-t)}D^{j-t}[u_1]_{D^i s}.$$

We can get (ii) by induction on $|a|$. $\square$

Definition 3.8 *Let* f *and* g *be monic polynomials of* $C(B, N)$. *We have the following compositions.*

• *If* $w = \bar{f} = a_{(n)}\bar{g}_{(m)}c$, $a, c \in T$ *and* $a, \bar{g}$ *are* D*-free (a maybe empty), then define* $(f, g)_w = f - [a_{(n)}g_{(m)}c]$, *which is a composition of inclusion.*

• *If* $w = \bar{f} = a_{(n)}\bar{g}D^i$, $a \in T$, a *is* D*-free (a maybe empty) and* $i \geq 0$, *then define* $(f, g)_w = f - [a_{(n)}D^i g]$, *which is a composition of right inclusion.*

• *If* $w = \bar{f}_{(m)}c = a_{(n)}\bar{g}$, $a, c \in T$, $a, \bar{f}$ *are* D*-free and* $|\bar{f}| + |\bar{g}| > |w|$, *then define* $(f, g)_w = [f_{(m)}c] - [a_{(n)}g]$, *which is a composition of intersection.*

• *If* $w = \bar{f}D^i = a_{(n)}\bar{g}$, $a \in T$ *is D-free and* $i > 0$, *then define* $(f,g)_w = D^i f - [a_{(n)}g]$, *which is a composition of right intersection.*

• *If* $b \in B$ *and* $n \geq N$, *then* $b_{(n)}f$ *is referred to as a composition of left multiplication.*

• *If* $b \in B$ *and either* $\bar{f}$ *is not D-free and* $0 \leq n < N$ *or* f *is not D-free and* $n \geq N$, *then* $f_{(n)}b$ *is referred to as a composition of right multiplication.*

Definition 3.9 *Let* $S \subset C(B,N)$ *be a set of monic polynomials and* $h \in C(B,N)$. *Then* h *is said to be trivial modulo* S, *denoted by*

$$h \equiv 0 \ mod(S), \quad if \ \ h = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i [u_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i},$$

where each $[u_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i}$ *is a normal* S*-word,* $s_i \in S$ *and* $\overline{[u_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i}} \leq \bar{h}$.

We call S *a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in* $C(B,N)$ *if all compositions of polynomials in* S *are trivial modulo* S.

If all left (right, resp.) multiplication compositions of elements of S *are trivial modulo* S, *then* S *is said to be closed under the composition of left (right, resp.) multiplication.*

Definition 3.10 *Let* S *be a monic subset of* $C(B,N)$. *A normal word* $[u]$ *is said to be* S*-irreducible if* $u \neq \overline{[v]_{D^i s}}$, *where* $[v]_{D^i s}$ *is any normal* S*-word. Denote the set of all* S*-irreducible words by* $Irr(S)$.

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis S *in* $C(B,N)$ *is minimal, if there are no compositions of inclusion and right inclusion of polynomials from* S, *i.e.,* $\bar{f} \neq \overline{[u]_{D^i g}}$ *for any different* $f, g \in S$, *where* $[u]_{D^i g}$ *is any normal* S*-word with* g.

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,N)$ *is reduced provided that* $supp(s) \subseteq Irr(S \setminus \{s\})$ *for every* $s \in S$, *where* $supp(s) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ *whenever* $s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i [u_i]$ *with* $0 \neq \alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ *and* $u_i \in T$. *In other words, each* u_i *is an* $S \setminus \{s\}$*-irreducible word.*

Clearly, a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis is minimal.

We will prove that for each ideal I of $C(B,N)$, I has a unique reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis, see Theorem 3.22.

Remark 3.11 *(i) The definition of a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in* $C(B,N)$ *in [9] (see, [9], Definition 3.11.) is different from in Definition 3.9.*

Comparing to Definition 3.11. in [9], we have different definitions of the following:

1) normal S-word of the first kind.

2) composition of inclusion.

3) composition of intersection.

4) composition of right multiplication.

5) composition of polynomials in S is trivial.

(ii) Let $S \subset C(B,N)$ be a set of monic polynomials. If S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in the sense of [9], then S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in the sense of Definition 3.9, but not conversely.

The following example comes from [9], 4.7.4.

Example 3.12 *Let $C(a, N = 2 \mid a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da = 0)$. Then $S = \{a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da = 0,\ [a_{(0)}a_{(0)}a] = 0\}$ is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis in the sense of Definitions 3.9 and 3.10, while S is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in the sense of [9].*

In the sense of [9], $C(a, N = 2 \mid a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da = 0)$ has a Gröbner-Shirshov basis which is not reduced:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \ = \ & \{a_{(1)}a - a_{(0)}Da = 0, \quad [a_{(0)}a_{(0)}a] = 0, \quad [a_{(0)}a_{(1)}a] = 0, \\ & [a_{(1)}a_{(0)}a] = 0, \quad [a_{(1)}a_{(1)}a] = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.13 *Let $[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]$ be a normal S-word of the first kind. Then for any $u \in T$ and $p \geq 0$, we have*

$$[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]_{(p)}[u] = \sum_t \alpha_t [a_{t(n_t)}s_{(m_t)}c_t],$$

where each $[a_{t(n_t)}s_{(m_t)}c_t]$ is a normal S-word of the first kind and $a_{t(n_t)}\bar{s}_{(m_t)}c_t \leq a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}c^{\backslash D}\natural u$. In particular, if $p < N$ and c is D-free, then

$$[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]_{(p)}[u] = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c_{(p)}u] + \sum_t \alpha_t [a_{t(n_t)}s_{(m_t)}c_t],$$

where $[a_{t(n_t)}s_{(m_t)}c_t]$ and $[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c_{(p)}u]$ are normal S-words, $a_{t(n_t)}\bar{s}_{(m_t)}c_t < a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}c_{(p)}u$.

Proof. Consider first that $a = 1$. Then

$$h := (s_{(m)}[c])_{(p)}[u] = s_{(m)}([c]_{(p)}[u]) + \sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t \binom{m}{t} s_{(m-t)}([c]_{(p+t)}[u]).$$

By Lemma 2.2, h is a linear combination of normal S-words of the first kind. We may assume that $[c]_{(p+t)}[u] = \sum \beta_{t_k}[v_{t_k}]$ for any $t \geq 1$, where $v_{t_k} \in T$ and $v_{t_k} \leq \overline{[c]_{(p+t)}[u]} \leq c^{\setminus D}\natural u$. Then the result holds for $a = 1$. In particular, if $p < N$ and c is D-free, then $\overline{[c]_{(p)}[u]} = c_{(p)}u$ by Lemma 3.1. So $\bar{s}_{(m-t)}v_{t_k} < \bar{s}_{(m)}c_{(p)}u$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\bar{h} = \bar{s}_{(m)}c_{(p)}u$.

Now let $|a| \geq 1$ and $a = b_{1(n_1)}a_1$ for some $b_1 \in B$. Then

$$(b_{1(n_1)}[a_{1(n)}s_{(m)}c])_{(p)}[u] = \sum_{t\geq 0}(-1)^t \binom{n_1}{t} b_{1(n_1-t)}([a_{1(n)}s_{(m)}c]_{(p+t)}[u]).$$

By induction on $|a|$, we can get the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.14 *Let $[a_{(n)}D^i s]$ be a normal S-word of the second kind. If S is closed under the composition of right multiplication, then for any $u \in T$ and $p \geq 0$, we have*

$$[a_{(n)}D^i s]_{(p)}[u] = \sum \alpha_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t},$$

where each $[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}} \leq a_{(n)}\bar{s}^{\setminus D}\natural u$. In particular, if $i = 0$, $p < N$ and $\bar{s}$ is D-free, then

$$[a_{(n)}s]_{(p)}[u] = [a_{(n)}s_{(p)}u] + \sum \alpha_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t},$$

where $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}} < a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(p)}u$, $[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$ and $[a_{(n)}s_{(p)}u]$ are normal S-words.

Proof. Case 1 $|u| = 1$, $a = 1$, $i = 0$. Suppose that $u = D^j b$ for some $b \in B$. Then $s_{(p)}D^j b = \sum_{t\geq 0}\binom{j}{t}\frac{p!}{(p-t)!}D^{j-t}(s_{(p-t)}b)$. If $s_{(p-t)}b$ is a normal S-word, then by Lemma 3.7, $D^{j-t}(s_{(p-t)}b)$ is a linear combination of normal S-words $[v_k]_{D^{i_k}s_k}$ and $\overline{[v_k]_{D^{i_k}s_k}} \leq \overline{D^{j-t}(s_{(p-t)}b)} = \bar{s}_{(p-t)}D^{j-t}b \leq \bar{s}\natural D^j b$. Let $s_{(p-t)}b$ be not a normal S-word and $s_{(p-t)}b \neq 0$. Since each right multiplication composition of S is trivial, we suppose that $s_{(p-t)}b = \sum_{k_t}\beta_{k_t}[v_{k_t}]_{D^{l_{k_t}}s_{k_t}}$, where each $[v_{k_t}]_{D^{l_{k_t}}s_{k_t}}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[v_{k_t}]_{D^{l_{k_t}}s_{k_t}}} \leq \overline{s_{(p-t)}b} \leq \bar{s}^{\setminus D}\natural b$.

Thus $\overline{D^{j-t}([v_{k_t}]_{D^{l_{k_t}}s_{k_t}})} \leq \overline{D^{j-t}[\bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural b]} = \bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural D^{j-t}b \leq \bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural D^j b$. By Lemma 3.7, we see that $s_{(p)}D^j b$ has a presentation $s_{(p)}D^j b = \sum \beta_k [v_k]_{D^{l_k}s_k}$, where each $[v_k]_{D^{l_k}s_k}$ is a normal S-word, and $\overline{[v_k]_{D^{l_k}s_k}} \leq \bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural D^j b$.

Case 2 $|u| = 1,\ |a| \geq 1,\ i \geq 0$. We assume that $a = b_{1(n_1)}\cdots b_{k(n_k)}b_{k+1} \in T$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h: &= [a_{(n)}D^i s]_{(p)}D^j b \\ &= \sum_{t_1,\cdots,t_k,t\geq 0} \beta_{t_1\cdots t_k t} b_{1(n_1-t_1)}(\cdots(b_{k(n_k-t_k)}(b_{k+1(n-t)}(D^i s_{(p+q)}D^j b)))\cdots) \\ &= \sum_{t_1,\cdots,t_k,t\geq 0} \beta'_{t_1\cdots t_k t} b_{1(n_1-t_1)}(\cdots(b_{k(n_k-t_k)}(b_{k+1(n-t)}(s_{(p+q-i)}D^j b)))\cdots), \end{aligned}$$

where $q = t_1 + \cdots + t_k + t,\ \beta_{t_1\cdots t_k t} = (-1)^q\binom{n_1}{t_1}\cdots\binom{n_k}{t_k}\binom{n}{t}$ and $\beta'_{t_1\cdots t_k t} = (-1)^i\frac{(p+q)!}{(p+q-i)!}\beta_{t_1\cdots t_k t}$. Since $n - t \leq N,\ n_l - t_l \leq N$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, we have $h = \sum \alpha_m [v_m]_{D^{l_m}s_m}$ by Case 1, where each $[v_m]_{D^{l_m}s_m}$ is a normal S-word and

$$\overline{[v_m]_{D^{l_m}s_m}} \leq b_{1(n_1)}(\cdots(b_{k(n_k)}(b_{k+1(n)}(\bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural D^j b)))\cdots) = a_{(n)}\bar{s}^{\backslash D}\natural D^j b.$$

In particular, if $i = 0,\ p < N$ and $\bar{s}$ is D-free, then $h = [a_{(n)}s_{(p)}D^j b] + \sum \alpha_m [v_m]_{D^{l_m}s_m}$, where $\overline{[v_m]_{D^{l_m}s_m}} < a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(p)}D^j b$.

Case 3 $|u| > 1$. We may assume that $u = b_{1(m_1)}u_1 \in T$ where $b_1 \in B$. Then $[a_{(n)}D^i s]_{(p)}[b_{1(m_1)}u_1] = \sum_{t\geq 0}\binom{p}{t}([a_{(n)}D^i s]_{(p-t)}b_1)_{(m_1+t)}[u_1]$. By Case 2, Lemma 3.13 and induction on $|u|$, we get the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.15 *Let $[v]_{D^i s}$ be a normal S-word and S be closed under the compositions of left and right multiplication, Then for any $u \in T$ and $p \geq 0$, the following statements hold.*

(i) $[u]_{(p)}[v]_{D^i s} = \sum \alpha_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$, *where each $[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}} \leq u^{\backslash D}\natural\overline{[v]_{D^i s}}$.*

(ii) *If $p < N$ and u is D-free, then we have*

1) *If $[v]_{D^i s} = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]$, then*

$$[u]_{(p)}[a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] = [u_{(p)}a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c] + \sum \alpha_t [v_t]_{s_t}, \tag{7}$$

where $[v_t]_{s_t}$ and $[u_{(p)}a_{(n)}D^i s]$ are normal S-words, $\overline{[v_t]_{s_t}} < u_{(p)}a_{(n)}\bar{s}_{(m)}c$.

2) If $[v]_{D^i s} = [a_{(n)} D^i s]$, *then*

$$[u]_{(p)}[a_{(n)}D^i s] = [u_{(p)}a_{(n)}D^i s] + \sum \alpha_t [u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}, \tag{8}$$

where $[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}$ *and* $[u_{(p)}a_{(n)}D^i s]$ *are normal* S*-words,* $\overline{[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}} < u_{(p)}a_{(n)}\bar{s}D^i$.

Proof. (i) Case 1 $|u| = 1$. Suppose that $u = D^j b$ for some $b \in B$ and $j \geq 0$. If $j > 0$, then $D^j b_{(p)}[v]_{D^i s} = (-1)^j \frac{(p)!}{(p-j)!} b_{(p-j)}[v]_{D^i s}$. So it suffices to consider $j = 0$. If $p < N$, then $b_{(p)}[v]_{D^i s}$ is normal. The result is clear. Now let $p \geq N$.

Case 1.1 Let $[v]_{D^i s} = [s_{(m)}c]$ be a normal S-word of the first kind. Then $b_{(p)}[s_{(m)}c] = \sum\limits_{t\geq 0} \binom{p}{t} (b_{(p-t)}s)_{(m+t)}[c]$. If $p - t < N$, then $b_{(p-t)}s$ is a normal S-word of the second kind. By Lemma 3.14, we know that $(b_{(p-t)}s)_{(m+t)}[c]$ can be presented as a linear combination of normal S-words $[v_k]_{D^{i_k} s_k}$ and $\overline{[v_k]_{D^{i_k} s_k}} \leq b_{(p-t)}\bar{s}\natural c \leq b\natural\overline{[s_{(m)}c]}$. Let $p - t \geq N$. Since S is closed under the composition of left multiplication, $b_{(p-t)}s$ can be presented as a linear combination of normal S-words $[v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l}$ and $\overline{[v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l}} \leq \overline{b_{(p-t)}s} \leq b\natural\bar{s} =: w$. Since $\bar{s}$ is D-free, w is D-free. By Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14, we get that $([v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l})_{(m+t)}[c] = \sum \alpha_k [u_k]_{D^{j_k} s_k}$ and $\overline{[u_k]_{D^{j_k} s_k}} \leq \overline{[v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l}}^{\backslash D} \natural c \leq w\natural c = b\natural\overline{[s_{(m)}c]}$. Hence, the result holds for $|u| = 1$ and $[v]_{D^i s} = [s_{(m)}c]$.

Let $[v]_{D^i s} = D^i s$ be a normal S-word of the second kind. Then

$$b_{(p)}D^i s = \sum_{t\geq 0} \binom{i}{t} \frac{(p)!}{(p-t)!} D^{i-t}(b_{(p-t)}s).$$

If $p - t < N$, then $b_{(p-t)}s$ is a normal S-word. Let $p - t \geq N$. Since S is closed under the composition of left multiplication, $b_{(p-t)}s$ can be presented as a linear combination of normal S-words $[v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l}$ and $\overline{[v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l}} \leq \overline{b_{(p-t)}s} \leq b\natural\bar{s}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\overline{D^{i-t}([v_l]_{D^{i_l} s_l})} \leq b\natural\bar{s}D^i$. By Lemma 3.7, the result holds for $|u| = 1$ and $[v]_{D^i s} = D^i s$.

Case 1.2 Let $a = b_{1(n_1)}a_1$ and $[v]_{D^i s} = [a_{(n)}s_{(m)}c]$ or $[v]_{D^i s} = [a_{(n)}D^i s]$. Then we can denote $[v]_{D^i s} = b_{1(n_1)}[v']_{D^i s}$, where $[v']_{D^i s} = [a_{1(n)}s_{(m)}c]$ or $[v']_{D^i s} = [a_{1(n)}D^i s]$. Then

$$b_{(p)}[v]_{D^i s} = b_{(p)}(b_{1(n_1)}[v']_{D^i s}) = \sum_{t\geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{p}{t} b_{(p-t)}(b_{1(n_1+t)}[v']_{D^i s}). \tag{9}$$

Since $|a_1| < |a|$, by induction on $|a|$, we have $b_{1(n_1+t)}[v']_{D^{i_s}} = \sum \beta_k [w_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}$ and $\overline{[w_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}} \leq b_1 \natural \overline{[v']_{D^{i_s}}}$. If $p - t \geq N$, we can make $p - t$ smaller by using the formula (9) again. So we may assume $0 \leq p - t < N$. Thus each $b_{(p-t)}[w_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{b_{(p-t)}[w_k]_{D^{j_k s_k}}} \leq b_{(N-1)} b_1 \natural \overline{[v']_{D^{i_s}}} = b \natural \overline{[v]_{D^{i_s}}}$. Therefore, the result holds for $|u| = 1$.

Case 2 $|u| > 1$. We may assume that $u = b_{1(n_1)} u_1 \in T$ for some $b_1 \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{1(n_1)} u_1]_{(p)} [v]_{D^{i_s}} &= \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{n_1}{t} b_{1(n_1-t)} ([u_1]_{(p+t)} [v]_{D^{i_s}}) \\ &= b_{1(n_1)} ([u_1]_{(p)} [v]_{D^{i_s}}) + \sum_{t \geq 1} (-1)^t \binom{n_1}{t} b_{1(n_1-t)} ([u_1]_{(p+t)} [v]_{D^{i_s}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_1 - t < N$, we have the result by induction on $|u|$.

(ii) If $p < N$ and u is D-free, by associative identity and induction on $|u|$, we can get identities (7) and (8). $\square$

Lemma 3.16 *Let S be closed under the compositions of left and right multiplication. Then any S-word can be presented as a linear combination of normal S-words.*

Proof. Let $(u)_{D^{i_s}}$ be an S-word. Induction on $|u|_{D^{i_s}}$. The result holds trivially if $|u|_{D^{i_s}} = 1$. Let $|u|_{D^{i_s}} > 1$. There are essentially two possibilities:

$$(u)_{D^{i_s}} = (a)_{(n)} (u_1)_{D^{i_s}} \text{ or } (u)_{D^{i_s}} = (u_1)_{D^{i_s}(n)} (a),$$

where (a) is a word, $(u_1)_{D^{i_s}}$ is an S-word and $|u_1|_{D^{i_s}} < |u|_{D^{i_s}}$. By Lemma 2.2, we may assume that $(a) = [a]$ is a normal word. By induction, $(u_1)_{D^{i_s}}$ is a linear combination of normal S-words. So it suffices to consider $[a]_{(n)} [u_1]_{D^{i_s}}$ and $[u_1]_{D^{i_s}(n)} [a]$. By Lemmas 3.13, 3.14 and 3.15, we complete the proof. $\square$

The following lemma plays a key role in proving Theorem 3.19.

Lemma 3.17 *Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$ and $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Let $[u_1]_{D^{j_1} s_1}$ and $[u_2]_{D^{j_2} s_2}$ be normal S-words. If $w = \overline{[u_1]_{D^{j_1} s_1}} = \overline{[u_2]_{D^{j_2} s_2}}$, then*

$$h := [u_2]_{D^{j_2} s_2} - [u_1]_{D^{j_1} s_1} = \sum_t \beta_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}, \tag{10}$$

where each $[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$ *is a normal S-word and* $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}} < w$.

Proof. There are three cases to consider:

Case 1 $[u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1} = [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1]$ and $[u_2]_{D^{j_2}s_2} = [a_{2(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]$. Then $w = a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1}_{(m_1)}c_1 = a_{2(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2$. We have three subcases to discuss.

Case 1.1 $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are mutually disjoint. We assume that $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1}_{(m_1)}a$ and $c_1 = a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2$, where $a \in T$ or $a = 1$. Suppose that $s_i = [\overline{s_i}] + \sum \alpha_{k_i}[u_{k_i}]$, where $u_{k_i} \in T,\ u_{k_i} < \overline{s_i},\ i \in \{1,2\}$. So $u_{k_i}^{\setminus D} < \overline{s_i}$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1, we have $[\overline{s_2}](m_2)[c_2] = [\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2] + \sum \gamma_t[v_t]$, where $v_t \in T$ and $v_t < \overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}[\overline{s_2}](m_2)[c_2]] + \sum \gamma_t[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}[c_2]] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}(s_2 - [\overline{s_2}])_{(m_2)}[c_2]] \\
& + \sum \gamma_t[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}[c_2]] - \sum \alpha_{k_2}[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}[u_{k_2}](m_2)[c_2]] \\
& + \sum \gamma_t[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t] \\
=: \ & A.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}[u_{k_2}](m_2)[c_2]]} &= a_{1(n_1)}\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}\overline{[u_{k_2}](m_2)[c_2]} \\
&\leq a_{1(n_1)}\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}u_{k_2}^{\setminus D}\natural c_2 \\
&< a_{1(n_1)}\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2 = w, \\
\overline{[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t]} &= a_{1(n_1)}\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t \\
&< a_{1(n_1)}\bar{s}_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2 = w.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [a_{2(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1}_{(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2] \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}[\overline{s_1}]_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]] + \sum \beta_l [q_l]_{D^{k_l}s_l} \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}(s_1 - [\overline{s_1}])_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]] \\
& + \sum \beta_l [q_l]_{D^{k_l}s_l} \\
= \ & [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2] - \sum \alpha_{k_1}[a_{1(n_1)}[u_{k_1}]_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]] \\
& + \sum \beta_l [q_l]_{D^{k_l}s_l} \\
=: \ & C,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{[q_l]_{D^{k_l}s_l}} < w$. Then by Lemma 3.15 and Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{[a_{1(n_1)}[u_{k_1}]_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]]} &= a_{1(n_1)}\overline{[u_{k_1}]_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]} \\
&\leq a_{1(n_1)}u_{k_1}^{\setminus D}\natural\overline{[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]} \\
&< a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1}_{(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c_2 = w.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= C - A \\
&= \sum \alpha_{k_2}[a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}[u_{k_2}]_{(m_2)}[c_2]] - \sum \gamma_t [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}a_{(n_2)}v_t] \\
&\quad - \sum \alpha_{k_1}[a_{1(n_1)}[u_{k_1}]_{(m_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2]] + \sum \beta_l [q_l]_{D^{k_l}s_l}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the result holds for Case 1.1.

Case 1.2 One of $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ is a subword of the other. We assume that $\overline{s_2}$ is a subword of $\overline{s_1}$, i.e., $w_1' = \overline{s_1} = a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}_{(m_2)}c$, $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)}a$ and $c_2 = c_{(m_1)}c_1$, where $a, c \in T$ are D-free or one of them is empty. Then by Lemma 3.14,

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= [a_{2(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] \\
&= [a_{1(n_1)}a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c_{(m_1)}c_1] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] \\
&= [a_{1(n_1)}[a_{(n_2)}s_{2(m_2)}c]_{(m_1)}[c_1]] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t} \\
&= -[a_{1(n_1)}(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'(m_1)}[c_1]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'} = s_1 - [a_{(n_2)} s_{2(m_2)} c]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}} < w$. Since S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, we know that $(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'}$ is a linear combination of normal S-words $[v_t']_{D^{l_t'} s_t}$ and $\overline{[v_t']_{D^{l_t'} s_t}} \leq \overline{(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'}} < w_1'$. Since w_1' is D-free, by Corollary 3.3, $\overline{[v_t']_{D^{l_t'} s_t}}^{\setminus D} \natural c_1 \leq \overline{(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'}}^{\setminus D} \natural c_1 < w'_{1(m_1)} c_1$. By Lemmas 3.13, 3.14 and 3.15, we get that the result holds for Case 1.2.

Case 1.3 $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have a nonempty intersection as subword of w, $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are not subwords of each other. Assume that $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)} a$, $c_1 = c_{(m_2)} c_2$ and $\overline{s_1}_{(m_1)} c = a_{(n_2)} \overline{s_2} = w_1'$, where $a, c \in T$ are D-free and $|\overline{s_1}| + |\overline{s_2}| > |w_1'|$. Then by Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)} s_{2(m_2)} c_2] - [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} c_1] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} a_{(n_2)} s_{2(m_2)} c_2] - [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} c_{(m_2)} c_2] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} [a_{(n_2)} s_2]_{(m_2)} [c_2]] - [a_{1(n_1)} [s_{1(m_1)} c]_{(m_2)} [c_2]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t} \\ &= -[a_{1(n_1)} (s_1, s_2)_{w_1'(m_2)} [c_2]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'} = [s_{1(m_1)} c] - [a_{(n_2)} s_2]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}} < w$. Similar to Case 1.2, we get that the result holds for Case 1.3.

Case 2 $[u_1]_{D^{j_1} s_1} = [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} c_1]$ and $[u_2]_{D^{j_2} s_2} = [a_{2(n_2)} D^{j_2} s_2]$. Then $w = a_{1(n_1)} \overline{s_1}_{(m_1)} c_1 = a_{2(n_2)} \overline{s_2} D^{j_2}$. We also have three subcases to consider.

Case 2.1 $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are mutually disjoint. We assume that $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)} \overline{s_1}_{(m_1)} a$ and $c_1 = a_{(n_2)} \overline{s_2} D^{j_2}$, where a may be empty. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)} D^{j_2} s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} c_1] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} \overline{s_1}_{(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{j_2} s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} \overline{s_2} D^{j_2}] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} [\overline{s_1}]_{(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{j_2} s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{j_2} [\overline{s_2}]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t} \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)} s_{1(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{j_2} (s_2 - [\overline{s_2}])] - [a_{1(n_1)} (s_1 - [\overline{s_1}])_{(m_1)} a_{(n_2)} D^{j_2} s_2] \\ &\quad + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t} s_t}} < w$. Similar to Case 1.1, we get the result.

Case 2.2 $\overline{s_1}$ is a subword of $\overline{s_2}$. Let $w_1' = \overline{s_2} = a_{(n_1)}\overline{s_{1(m_1)}}c$, $a_1 = a_{2(n_2)}a$ and $c_1 = cD^{j_2}$, where $a, c \in T$. Then by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{2(n_2)}a_{(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}cD^{j_2}] \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}[a_{(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t} \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}((s_2, s_1)_{w_1'})] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_2, s_1)_{w_1'} = s_2 - [a_{(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}} < w$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.7.

Case 2.3 $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ have a nonempty intersection, $\overline{s_1}$ and $\overline{s_2}$ are not subwords of each other. Assume that $w_1' = \overline{s_{1(m_1)}}c = a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}$, $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)}a$ and $c_1 = cD^{j_2}$, where $a, c \in T$ and $|\overline{s_1}| + |\overline{s_2}| > |w_1'|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}c_1] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)}a_{(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}s_{1(m_1)}cD^{j_2}] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_2}[a_{(n_2)}s_2]] - [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_2}[s_{1(m_1)}c]] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t} \\ &= -[a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_2}((s_1, s_2)_{w_1'})] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_1, s_2)_{w_1'} = [s_{1(m_1)}a] - [a_{(n_2)}s_2]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}} < w$. By Lemma 3.7, we can get the result.

Case 3 $[u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1} = [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1]$ and $[u_2]_{D^{j_2}s_2} = [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2]$. Then $w_1 = a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1}D^{j_1} = a_{2(n_2)}\overline{s_2}D^{j_2}$. We may assume that $j_2 \geq j_1$ and put $i = j_2 - j_1 \geq 0$. Denote $w_1 = w_1'D^{j_1}$, where $w_1' = a_{1(n_1)}\overline{s_1} = a_{2(n_2)}\overline{s_2}D^i$. Then there are two possibilities.

Case 3.1 $\overline{s_2}$ is a subword of $\overline{s_1}$, i.e., $a_2 = a_{1(n_1)}a$ and $w' = \overline{s_1} = a_{(n_2)}\overline{s_2}D^i$, where $a \in T$ is D-free. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)}a_{(n_2)}D^{j_1+i}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1] \\ &= [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}[a_{(n_2)}D^i s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t} \\ &= -[a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}((s_1, s_2)_{w'})] + \sum \gamma_t [q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_1, s_2)_{w'} = s_1 - [a_{(n_2)}D^i s_2]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}} < w$. By Lemma 3.7, we can get the result.

Case 3.2 $\overline{s_1}$ is a subword of $\overline{s_2}D^i$, i.e., $a_1 = a_{2(n_2)}a$ and $w' = \overline{s_2}D^i = a_{(n_1)}\overline{s_1}$, where $a \in T$ is D-free. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_2}s_2] - [a_{1(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1] \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_1+i}s_2] - [a_{2(n_2)}a_{(n_1)}D^{j_1}s_1] \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_1+i}s_2] - [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_1}[a_{(n_1)}s_1]] + \sum \gamma_t[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t} \\ &= [a_{2(n_2)}D^{j_1}((s_2, s_1)_{w'})] + \sum \gamma_t[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(s_2, s_1)_{w'} = D^i s_2 - [a_{(n_1)}s_1]$, each $[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[q_t]_{D^{k_t}s_t}} < w$. By Lemma 3.7, we can get the result.

This shows (10). □

Lemma 3.18 *Let S be a monic subset of $C(B, N)$. Then for any $f \in C(B, N)$,*

$$f = \sum_j \alpha_j[u_j]_{D^{l_j}s_j} + \sum_k \alpha_k[u_k],$$

where each $[u_j]_{D^{l_j}s_j}$ is a normal S-word, $\overline{[u_j]_{D^{l_j}s_j}} \leq \bar{f}$, $[u_k] \in Irr(S)$, $u_k \leq \bar{f}$, $\alpha_j, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{k}$ and $s_j \in S$.

Proof. Induction on $\bar{f}$. Let $f = \sum \alpha_i[w_i]$, where $w_i \in T$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$. We may assume without loss of generality that $w_1 > w_2 > \cdots$. If $[w_1] \in Irr(S)$, then let $f_1 = f - \alpha_1[w_1]$. If $w_1 = \overline{[v]_{D^i s}}$ for some normal S-word $[v]_{D^i s}$, then let $f_1 = f - \alpha_1[v]_{D^i s}$. In all cases, we have $\bar{f} > \overline{f_1}$. Then the result follows from the induction on $\bar{f}$. □

The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.19 *(Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras) Let $C(B, N)$ be the free associative conformal algebra generated by a well-ordered set B with a bounded locality N. Let S be a monic subset of $C(B, N)$ and $Id(S)$ be the ideal of $C(B, N)$ generated by S. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,N)$.*

(ii) *If $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$, then $f = \sum \alpha \overline{[v]_{D^{i}s}}$ for some normal S-word $[v]_{D^{i}s}$.*

(ii)$'$ *If $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$, then $f = \sum \alpha_i [u_i]_{D^{j_i}s_i}$, where each $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$, $[u_i]_{D^{j_i}s_i}$ is a normal S-word and $\bar{f} = \overline{[u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1}} > \overline{[u_2]_{D^{j_2}s_2}} > \cdots$.*

(iii) *$Irr(S)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $C(B,N|S) = C(B,N)/Id(S)$.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. By Lemma 3.16, f has an expression $f = \sum \alpha_i [u_i]_{D^{j_i}s_i}$, where each $[u_i]_{D^{j_i}s_i}$ is a normal S-word. Denote $w_i = \overline{[u_i]_{D^{j_i}s_i}}$. We may assume that

$$w = w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_l > w_{l+1} \geq w_{l+2} \geq \cdots$$

for some $l \geq 1$.

If $l = 1$ then the result is clear. Suppose that $l > 1$. Then by Lemma 3.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 [u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1} + \alpha_2 [u_2]_{D^{j_2}s_2} &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)[u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1} + \alpha_2([u_2]_{D^{j_2}s_2} - [u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1}) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)[u_1]_{D^{j_1}s_1} + \sum \alpha_2 \beta_t [v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where each $[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[v_t]_{D^{l_t}s_t}} < w$.

Then (ii) follows from induction on $(w,\ l)$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (ii)'$. This part is clear.

$(ii)' \Rightarrow (iii)$. The set $Irr(S)$ generates the algebra $C(B,N)/Id(S)$ as vector space by Lemma 3.18. On the other hand, suppose that $h = \sum \alpha_t [u_t] = 0$ in $C(B,N)/Id(S)$, where each $[u_t] \in Irr(S)$. This means that $h \in Id(S)$. Then each α_t must be equal to zero. Otherwise, $\bar{h} = u_t$ for some t where $[u_t] \in Irr(S)$, which contradicts $(ii)'$.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Suppose that h is any composition of polynomial in S. Then $h \in Id(S)$. Since $Irr(S)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis for $C(B,N)/Id(S)$ and by Lemma 3.18, we have $h \equiv 0 \ \ mod(S)$, i.e., S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. $\square$

Remark 3.20 *In [9], a Composition-Diamond lemma for associative conformal algebras is established which claim that in Theorem 3.19, $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$, but not conversely. The reason is that the definitions of a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B,N)$ are different, see Remark 3.11.*

Given a set $S \subseteq C(B,N)$, we denote $\overline{S} = \{\bar{s} \mid s \in S\}$ with respect to the ordering (3).

Lemma 3.21 *For any ideal I of $C(B,N)$, I has a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

Proof. Define a relation " $\sim$ " on I: for any $f, g \in I$,

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow \bar{f} = \bar{g}.$$

Clearly, " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation. Let S_1 be a set of representation elements of equivalence classes, say, $S_1 = I/\sim$. We may assume that S_1 is a monic set. Now we prove $Id(S_1) = I$ and S_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. Clearly, $Id(S_1) \subseteq I$. Let $0 \neq f \in I$. We prove that $f \in Id(S_1)$ by induction on $\bar{f}$. If $\bar{f}$ is the minimal element of $\overline{I}$, then $f \in S_1$. Otherwise, there exists $g \in S_1$, such that $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$. Now, $f \neq g$, $\overline{f-g} < \overline{f}$ and $f - g \in I$, a contradiction. Suppose that $\bar{f}$ is not the minimal element of $\overline{I}$. Note that there exists $g \in S_1$ such that $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$. If $f \neq g$, then $\overline{f-g} < \overline{f}$ and $f - g \in I$. Thus, by induction on $\bar{f}$, we have $f-g \in Id(S_1)$ and so $f \in Id(S_1)$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.19, S_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $Id(S_1) = I$.

Note that for any $f, g \in S_1$, if $f \neq g$, then $\bar{f} \neq \bar{g}$.

For any $g \in S_1$, define

$$\triangle_g = \{f \in S_1 \mid f \neq g,\ \bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^i g}} \text{ for some normal } S\text{-word } [u]_{D^i g}\}.$$

Clearly, if $f \in \triangle_g$ and $g \in \triangle_h$, then $\bar{f} > \bar{g}$ and $f \in \triangle_h$. Let

$$S' = S_1 \backslash \cup_{g \in S_1} \triangle_g.$$

Then $Id(S') = Id(S_1)$ and S' is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $Id(S') = I$. In fact, clearly, $Id(S') \subseteq Id(S_1)$. Suppose that $f \in S_1$. We prove that $f \in Id(S')$ by induction on $\bar{f}$. If $\bar{f}$ is the minimal element of $\overline{S_1}$, then $f \in S'$. Suppose that $\bar{f}$ is not the minimal element of $\overline{S_1}$ and $f \notin S'$. Then there exists $g \in S_1$ such that $f \in \triangle_g$. So $\bar{g} < \bar{f}$. By induction on $\bar{f}$, we get that there exists $s \in S'$ such that $g \in \triangle_s$. So $f \in \triangle_s$ and $\bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^i s}}$. By Theorem 3.19 $(ii)'$, we have $f - [u]_{D^i s} = \sum \alpha_t [u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}$, where each $[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}$ is a normal S_1-word and $\overline{[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}} \leq \overline{f - [u]_{D^i s}}$. Thus $\overline{s_t} \leq \overline{f - [u]_{D^i s}} < \bar{f}$. By

induction on $\bar{f}$, we have $s_t \in Id(S')$. Therefore $f \in Id(S')$. This shows that $Id(S') = Id(S_1)$.

Let $0 \neq f \in Id(S')$. Then $f \in Id(S_1)$. By Theorem 3.19, we have $\bar{f} = \overline{[u]_{D^i g}}$ for some $g \in S_1$, where $[u]_{D^i g}$ is a normal S_1-word. If $g \in S'$, then $[u]_{D^i g}$ is a normal S'-word. If $g \notin S'$, then there exists $s' \in S'$, such that $g \in \triangle_{s'}$, $\bar{g} = \overline{[w]_{D^t s'}}$. So $\bar{f}$ is the leading term of some normal S'-word $[v]_{D^j s'}$. By Theorem 3.19, S' is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Generally, for an ideal I of $C(B, N)$, minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis for I is not unique.

Theorem 3.22 *For any ideal I of $C(B, N)$, I has a unique reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.21, we may assume that I has a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov basis S.

Let $f \in S$. By Lemma 3.18, we have

$$f - \bar{f} = \sum \alpha_k [u_k] + \sum \alpha_j [u_j]_{D^{l_j} s_j},$$

where each $[u_j]_{D^{l_j} s_j}$ is a normal S-word, $\overline{[u_j]_{D^{l_j} s_j}} \leq \overline{f - \bar{f}} < \bar{f}$, $[u_k] \in Irr(S)$, $u_k \leq \overline{f - \bar{f}} < \bar{f}$, $\alpha_j, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{k}$ and $s_j \in S$. Denote $r'_f = \sum \alpha_k [u_k]$ and

$$S' = \{f' \mid f' = \bar{f} + r'_f,\ f \in S\}.$$

Then S' is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis for $Id(S) = I$. In fact, clearly, $S' \subseteq Id(S)$. Let $s \in S$. We prove that $s \in Id(S')$ by induction on $\bar{s}$. If $\bar{s}$ is the minimal element of $\overline{S}$, then $s \in S'$. Suppose that $\bar{s}$ is not the minimal element of $\overline{S}$ and $s \notin S'$. By Theorem 3.19 $(ii)'$, we have $s - s' = \sum \alpha_t [u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}$, where each $[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}$ is a normal S-word and $\overline{[u_t]_{D^{l_t} s_t}} \leq \overline{s - s'}$. Thus $\overline{s_t} \leq \overline{s - s'} < \bar{s}$. By induction on $\bar{s}$, we have $s_t \in Id(S')$. So $s \in Id(S')$. This shows that $Id(S') = Id(S)$. Since $\overline{S'} = \overline{S}$, $Irr(S') = Irr(S)$. Then S' is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis following from Theorem 3.19. Clearly, S' is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

Assume that S_1 is another reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis for ideal $I = Id(S)$. Then $Id(S_1) = Id(S) = Id(S')$. For any $s_1 \in S_1 \subseteq Id(S')$, there

exists $s' \in S'$ such that $\overline{s_1} = \overline{[u]_{D^i s'}}$ by Theorem 3.19. Similarly, for $s' \in Id(S_1)$, there exists $f_1 \in S_1$ such that $\overline{s'} = \overline{[v]_{D^j f_1}}$. Thus $\overline{s_1} = \overline{[u]_{D^i s'}} = \overline{[w]_{D^t f_1}}$ and $\overline{s_1} \geq \overline{s'} \geq \overline{f_1}$. Since S_1 is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis, we have $\overline{s_1} = \overline{f_1}$. Thus $\overline{s_1} = \overline{s'}$ and so $\overline{S_1} \subseteq \overline{S'}$. Similarly, $\overline{S'} \subseteq \overline{S_1}$. Hence $\overline{S'} = \overline{S_1}$ and so $Irr(S_1) = Irr(S') = Irr(S)$. Since S_1 is a reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis, for any $s_1 \in S_1$, we can assume that $s_1 = \overline{s_1} + r_{s_1}$ and $r_{s_1} \in \mathbf{k}Irr(S)$, where $\mathbf{k}Irr(S)$ is the vector space spanned by $\mathbf{k}$-basis $Irr(S)$. Let $s' \in S'$ with $\overline{s_1} = \overline{s'}$. Then $s_1 - s' = r_{s_1} - r'_s \in Id(S)$ and $r_{s_1} - r'_s \in \mathbf{k}Irr(S)$. By Theorem 3.19, $r_{s_1} = r'_s$. Then $s_1 = s' \in S'$. Thus $S_1 \subseteq S'$. Similarly, $S' \subseteq S_1$. Therefore, $S_1 = S'$. $\square$

Shirshov Algorithm Let S be a monic subset of $C(B, N)$. If S is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$, then one can add all nontrivial compositions of polynomials of S to S. Continuing this process repeatedly, we finally obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^c that contains S. Such a process is called Shirshov algorithm.

4 Applications

The following proposition gives a characterization of a conformal algebra $C(B, N|S)$ to have a $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis under the condition "$ind(\bar{s}) = 0$ for any $s \in S$", where $\mathbf{k}[D]$ is the polynomial ring over the field $\mathbf{k}$ with one variable D.

Proposition 4.1 *Let S be a monic subset of $C(B, N)$ with $ind(\bar{s}) = 0$ for any $s \in S$. Then S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(B, N)$ if and only if the set $A = \{[u] \in Irr(S) \mid ind(u) = 0\}$ is a $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis of $C(B, N|S) = C(B, N)/Id(S)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $Irr(S) = \bigcup_{[u] \in A} \{[uD^i] \mid i \geq 0\}$.

Suppose that $f \in C(B, N)$. By induction on $\bar{f}$, we have

$$f = \sum_i \alpha_i [w_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i} + \sum_j \alpha_j D^{l_j} [u_j],$$

where each $[w_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i}$ is a normal S-word, $\overline{[w_i]_{D^{l_i} s_i}} \leq \bar{f}$, $[u_j] \in A$, $u_j D^{l_j} \leq \bar{f}$, $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathbf{k}$ and $s_i \in S$.

Now the result follows from Lemma 3.18. $\square$

The following corollary follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.19.

Corollary 4.2 *Let C be an associative conformal algebra with a well-ordered $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis $\{b_i\}_{i\in I}$, multiplication table*

$$S = \{b_{i(n)}b_j = \Sigma_t \alpha_{ijn}^t b_t,\ \alpha_{ijn}^t \in \mathbf{k}[D],\ i,j \in I,\ 0 \le n < N\}$$

and a bounded locality $N = N(b_i, b_j)$ for any $i, j \in I$. Then $C = C(\{b_i\}_{i\in I}, N|S)$.

Let S be a monic D-free subset of $C(B, N)$. Then by Shirshov Algorithm, we can get a D-free Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^c in $C(B, N)$. Thus by Proposition 4.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3 *([8]) Let S be a D-free subset of monic polynomials in $C(B, N)$. Then $C(B, N|S)$ is a free $\mathbf{k}[D]$-module.*

Now we consider some Lie conformal algebras which are embeddable into their universal enveloping associative conformal algebras.

Any associative conformal algebra $A = (A, (n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, D)$ can be made into a Lie conformal algebra $A^{(-)} = (A, [n], n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, D)$ by defining new multiplications using conformal commutators:

$$x_{[n]}y = x_{(n)}y - \{y_{(n)}x\},$$

where $x, y \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0},\ \{y_{(n)}x\} = \sum\limits_{k\ge 0}(-1)^{n+k}\frac{1}{k!}D^k(y_{(n+k)}x)$. The locality function for $A^{(-)}$ is essentially the same as for A, i.e., it is given by

$$N_{A^{(-)}}(a, b) = max\{N_A(a, b), N_A(b, a)\}.$$

Let L be a Lie conformal algebra which is a free $\mathbf{k}[D]$-module with a $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis $B = \{b_i | i \in I\}$ and a bounded locality $N(b_i, b_j) \le N$ for all $i, j \in I$. Let the multiplication table of L in the $\mathbf{k}[D]$-basis B be

$$b_{i[n]}b_j = \Sigma\alpha_{ijn}^k b_k,\ \alpha_{ijn}^k \in \mathbf{k}[D],\ i,j \in I, n < N.$$

Then by $\mathcal{U}_N(L)$, a universal enveloping associative conformal algebra of L with respective to B and N, one means the following associative conformal algebra (see [30]):

$$\mathcal{U}_N(L) = C(B, N|\ b_{i(n)}b_j - \{b_{j(n)}b_i\} - b_{i[n]}b_j = 0,\ i,j \in I,\ n < N).$$

Example 4.4 *Loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra. Let*

$$\mathcal{LW} = C_{Lie}(\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, N = 2 \mid L_{i[0]}L_j = -DL_{i+j},\ L_{i[1]}L_j = -2L_{i+j},\ i,j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

be the Lie conformal algebra over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ with a $\mathbb{C}[D]$-basis $\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $\mathcal{LW}$ is called loop Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, see [34]. A universal enveloping associative conformal algebra of $\mathcal{LW}$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{LW}) = C_{ass}(\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, N = 2 \mid S^{(-)}),$$

where $S^{(-)}$ consists of

$$f^0_{ij} := L_{i(0)}L_j + L_{j(1)}DL_i - 2L_{j(0)}L_i + DL_{i+j}, \qquad i,j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$f^1_{ij} := L_{i(1)}L_j + L_{j(1)}L_i + 2L_{i+j}, \qquad i,j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the following statements hold.

(i) $C_{ass}(\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, N = 2 \mid S^{(-)}) = C(\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, N = 2 \mid S_1)$, *where S_1 consists of the following polynomials:*

$$s^0_{ij} := L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j},\ i \neq 0,\quad i,j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$s^1_{ij} := L_{i(1)}L_j + L_{i+j},\quad i,j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii) Define an ordering on the set $\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$: for any $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$L_i > L_j \Leftrightarrow |i| > |j| \quad or \quad |i| = |j| \ and\ i > j.$$

Then S_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(\{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}, N = 2)$.

(iii) The set

$$Irr(S_1) = \{[\underbrace{L_{0(0)}L_{0(0)}\cdots L_{0(0)}}_{k} D^t L_i] \mid i \in \mathbb{Z},\ t \geq 0,\ k \geq 0\}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{LW})$ and the set

$$A = \{[\underbrace{L_{0(0)}L_{0(0)}\cdots L_{0(0)}}_{k} L_i] \mid i \in \mathbb{Z},\ k \geq 0\}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}[D]$-basis of $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{LW})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{LW}$ can be embeddable into its universal enveloping associative conformal algebra $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{LW})$.

Proof. (i) Since $f^1_{ii} = 2(L_{i(1)}L_i + L_{2i})$, we have $s^1_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}f^1_{ii} \in Id(S^{(-)})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
s^1_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{2}(f^1_{i0(1)}L_0 - L_{i(1)}s^1_{00} - L_{0(1)}f^1_{i0} - f^1_{i0} + s^1_{00(1)}L_i) \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^1_{i0} &= f^1_{i0} - s^1_{0i} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^1_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}f^0_{ji(2)}L_0 + L_{i(1)}f^1_{j0} - L_{i(1)}s^1_{0j} + f^1_{i+j,0} - s^1_{0,i+j} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
z^0_{ij}: &= L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{j(0)}L_i = f^0_{ij} - Ds^1_{ji} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^0_{ij} &= L_{i(1)}z^0_{j0} - s^1_{ij(0)}L_0 - L_{i(0)}s^1_{j0} + s^1_{i0(0)}L_j + z^0_{i+j,0} + L_{i(0)}s^1_{0j} \in Id(S^{(-)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Id(S_1) \subseteq Id(S^{(-)})$. It is obvious that $f^0_{ij} = s^0_{ij} - s^0_{ji} + Ds^1_{ji}$ and $f^1_{ij} = s^1_{ij} + s^1_{ji}$, so $Id(S^{(-)}) \subseteq Id(S_1)$. We complete the proof for (i).

(ii) All compositions in S_1 consist of

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^0_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}L_k; \quad s^0_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}L_k;\\
&s^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k; \quad s^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k;\\
&x_{(n)}s^0_{ij},\ x_{(n)}s^1_{ij}, \quad x \in \{L_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}},\ n \geq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that these compositions are trivial modulo S_1.

For $s^0_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}L_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(s^0_{ij}, s^0_{jk})_w &= (L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j})_{(0)}L_k - L_{i(0)}(L_{j(0)}L_k - L_{0(0)}L_{j+k})\\
&= -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(0)}L_k) + L_{i(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{j+k})\\
&= -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(0)}L_k) + (L_{i(0)}L_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k}\\
&\equiv -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(0)}L_k) + L_{0(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+k})\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $s^0_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(s^0_{ij}, s^1_{jk})_w &= (L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - L_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}L_k + L_{j+k})\\
&= -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(1)}L_k) - L_{i(0)}L_{j+k}\\
&\equiv L_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k}\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $s^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(s^1_{ij}, s^0_{jk})_w &= (L_{i(1)}L_j + L_{i+j})_{(0)}L_k - L_{i(1)}(L_{j(0)}L_k - L_{0(0)}L_{j+k})\\
&= -L_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}L_k) + L_{i+j(0)}L_k + (L_{i(1)}L_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k} + (L_{i(0)}L_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k}\\
&\equiv L_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + L_{i+j(0)}L_k - L_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - L_{i(0)}L_{j+k}\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $s^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(s^1_{ij}, s^1_{jk})_w &= (L_{i(1)}L_j + L_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - L_{i(1)}(L_{j(1)}L_k + L_{j+k}) \\ &= L_{i+j(1)}L_k - L_{i(1)}L_{j+k} \\ &\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}).\end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{Z},\ n \geq 2$, it is clearly that $L_{t(n)}s^1_{ij} \equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}L_{t(n)}s^0_{ij} &= L_{t(n)}(L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) \\ &= nL_{t(n-1)}(L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{0(1)}L_{i+j}) \\ &\equiv nL_{t(n-1)}(L_{i+j} - L_{i+j}) \\ &\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_{_1}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(iii) By Theorem 3.19 and Proposition 4.1, we can get the result. □

Example 4.5 *Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra. Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{CHV} = C_{Lie}(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N = 2 \mid & L_{i[0]}L_j = DL_{i+j},\ L_{i[1]}L_j = 2L_{i+j}, \\ & L_{i[0]}H_j = DH_{i+j}, L_{i[0]}H_j = DH_{i+j},\ L_{i[1]}H_j = H_{i+j}, \\ & H_{i[0]}H_j = H_{i[1]}H_j = 0,\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z})\end{aligned}$$

be the Lie conformal algebra over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ with a $\mathbb{C}[D]$-basis $\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $\mathcal{CHV}$ is called loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, see [23]. A universal enveloping associative conformal algebra of $\mathcal{CHV}$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{CHV}) = C_{ass}(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N = 2 \mid S^{(-)}),$$

where $S^{(-)}$ consists of

$$\begin{aligned}f^0_{ij} &:= L_{i(0)}L_j + L_{j(1)}DL_i - 2L_{j(0)}L_i - DL_{i+j}, \\ f^1_{ij} &:= L_{i(1)}L_j + L_{j(1)}L_i - 2L_{i+j}, \\ g^0_{ij} &:= L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j}, \\ g^1_{ij} &:= L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j}, \\ p^0_{ij} &:= L_{j(1)}DH_i - 2L_{j(0)}H_i + H_{i(0)}L_j, \\ h^0_{ij} &:= H_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DH_i - 2H_{j(0)}H_i, \\ h^1_{ij} &:= H_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}H_i\end{aligned}$$

for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) $C_{ass}(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N=2 \mid S^{(-)}) = C(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N=2 \mid S_1)$, *where* S_1 *consists of the following polynomials:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&s^0_{ij} := L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j},\ i\neq 0,\\
&s^1_{ij} := L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{i+j},\\
&g^0_{ij} = L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j},\\
&g^1_{ij} = L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j},\\
&q^0_{ijk} := H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k},\\
&\qquad\qquad |i|\geq |j|,\ i>0>j \ \ or\ \ i>j>0 \ \ or\ \ i<j<0,\\
&q^1_{ij} := H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j},\ i\neq 0,\\
&r^0_{ij} := H_{i(0)}H_j - H_{0(0)}H_{i+j},\ i\neq 0,\\
&r^1_{ij} := H_{i(1)}H_j,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Define an ordering set $\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$*: for any* $i,j,k,t\in\mathbb{Z}$, $L_k > H_t$ *and*

$$L_i > L_j\ (H_i > H_j) \Leftrightarrow |i|>|j| \ \ or\ \ |i|=|j| \text{ and } i>j.$$

Then S_1 *is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in* $C(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N=2)$.

(iii) The set

$$
\begin{aligned}
Irr(S_1) \ = \ \{&[\underbrace{H_{0(0)}H_{0(0)}\cdots H_{0(n)}}_{k}\underbrace{L_{0(0)}L_{0(0)}\cdots L_{0(0)}}_{l}D^tL_i],\ H_{0(0)}D^tH_i,\\
&H_{-1(0)}D^tL_i,\ D^tH_i,\ i\in\mathbb{Z},\ t\geq 0, k\geq 0, l\geq 0,\ 0\leq n\leq 1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$*-basis of* $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{CHV})$ *and the set*

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \ = \ \{&[\underbrace{H_{0(0)}H_{0(0)}\cdots H_{0(n)}}_{k}\underbrace{L_{0(0)}L_{0(0)}\cdots L_{0(0)}}_{l}L_i],\ H_{0(0)}H_i,\ H_i,\\
&H_{-1(0)}L_i,\ \ i\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\geq 0,\ l\geq 0,\ 0\leq n\leq 1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a $\mathbb{C}[D]$*-basis of* $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{CHV})$*. Moreover,* $\mathcal{CHV}$ *can be embeddable into its universal enveloping associative conformal algebra* $\mathcal{U}_{N=2}(\mathcal{CHV})$.

Proof. (i) By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s^1_{ii} &= \frac{1}{2}f^1_{ii} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
r^1_{ii} &= \frac{1}{2}h^1_{ii} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^1_{i0} &= -\frac{1}{2}(f^1_{i0(1)}L_0 - L_{i(1)}s^1_{00} - L_{0(1)}f^1_{i0} - f^1_{i0} + s^1_{00(1)}L_i) \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^1_{0i} &= f^1_{i0} - s^1_{i0} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^1_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}f^0_{ji(2)}L_0 - L_{i(1)}s^1_{j0} + s^1_{i+j,0} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
z^0_{ij}: &= L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{j(0)}L_i = f^0_{ij} - Ds^1_{ji} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
s^0_{ij} &= -L_{i(1)}z^0_{j0} + s^1_{ij(0)}L_0 + L_{i(0)}s^1_{j0} - s^1_{i0(0)}L_j + z^0_{i+j,0} - L_{i(0)}s^1_{0j} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
r^1_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}(u^1_{ij} - u^1_{ji} + h^1_{ji}) \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
v^0_{ij}: &= H_{i(0)}H_j - H_{j(0)}H_i = h^0_{ij} - Dr^1_{ji} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
r^0_{ij} &= -g^1_{i0(1)}H_j + L_{i(1)}v^0_{0j} - L_{i(0)}r^1_{0j} + H_{0(1)}g^0_{ij} - H_{0(0)}g^1_{ij} + g^1_{ij(0)}H_0\\
&\quad + L_{i(0)}r^1_{j0} - r^1_{0j(1)}DL_i + 2r^1_{0j(0)}L_i + Dr^1_{0,i+j} + r^1_{j0(1)}DL_i - 2r^1_{j0(0)}L_i\\
&\quad + 2v^0_{j0(1)}DL_i + 3v^0_{0j(1)}L_i - Dr^1_{ji} + v^0_{i+j,0} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
q^1_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}L_{j(2)}g^0_{i0} - s^1_{ji} - g^1_{i+j,0} + g^1_{ji} \in Id(S^{(-)}),\\
q^0_{ijk} &= -g^0_{ij(1)}L_k + L_{i(0)}q^1_{jk} - q^1_{ji(0)}L_k - H_{0(1)}s^0_{i+j,k} + H_{0(1)}s^0_{i,j+k} \in Id(S^{(-)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Id(S_1) \subseteq Id(S^{(-)})$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
f^0_{ij} &= s^0_{ij} - s^0_{ji} + Ds^1_{ji}, \quad f^1_{ij} = s^1_{ij} + s^1_{ji}, \quad h^0_{ij} = r^0_{ij} - r^0_{ji} + Dr^1_{ji},\\
h^1_{ij} &= r^1_{ij} + r^1_{ji}, \quad p^0_{ij} = Dg^1_{ji} - g^0_{ji}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Id(S^{(-)}) \subseteq Id(S_1)$. Hence $Id(S^{(-)}) = Id(S_1)$.

(ii) Note that if $|i| \geq |j|$ and $i > 0 > j$, then $\overline{q^0_{ijk}} = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k}$; if $i > j > 0$ or $i < j < 0$, then $\overline{q^0_{ijk}} = H_{i+j(0)}L_k$.

All compositions in S_1 are related to

$$s^0_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}L_k; \qquad s^0_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}L_k;$$
$$s^0_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}H_k; \qquad s^0_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}H_k;$$
$$s^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k; \qquad s^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k;$$
$$s^1_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}H_k; \qquad s^1_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}H_k;$$
$$g^0_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}; \qquad g^0_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m;$$
$$g^0_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}L_k; \qquad g^0_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}H_k;$$
$$g^0_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}H_k; \qquad g^1_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m};$$
$$g^1_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m; \qquad g^1_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}L_k;$$
$$g^1_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}H_k; \qquad g^1_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}H_k;$$
$$q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^0_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(0)}L_m; \qquad q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^0_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(0)}L_m;$$
$$q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^1_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(1)}L_m; \qquad q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^1_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(1)}L_m;$$
$$q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^0_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(0)}H_m; \qquad q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^0_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(0)}H_m;$$
$$q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^1_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(1)}H_m; \qquad q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^1_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(1)}H_m;$$
$$q^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k; \qquad q^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k;$$
$$q^1_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}H_k; \qquad q^1_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}H_k;$$
$$r^0_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}; \qquad r^0_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m;$$
$$r^0_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}L_k; \qquad r^0_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}H_k;$$
$$r^0_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}H_k; \qquad r^1_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m};$$
$$r^1_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m; \qquad r^1_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}L_k;$$
$$r^1_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}H_k; \qquad r^1_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}H_k;$$
$$x_{(n)}s; \qquad g^0_{ij(n)}x; \quad \text{where } \ s \in S_1,\ x \in \{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ n \geq 2.$$

Now we prove that these compositions are trivial modulo S_1.

It is similar to Example 4.4 that for $s^0_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk}, w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}L_k$; $s^0_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk}, w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}L_k$; $s^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk}, w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k$; $s^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk}, w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k$; $r^0_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk}, w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}H_k$; $r^0_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk}, w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}H_k$; $r^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk}, w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}H_k$; $r^1_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk}, w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}H_k$; the corresponding compositions are trivial modulo S_1.

For $s^0_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(1)}H_k$, we have $i \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s^0_{ij}, g^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j})_{(1)}H_k - L_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}H_k + H_{k(1)}L_j - H_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(1)}H_k) - (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_j + L_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & L_{0(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j} - H_{i+j+k}) + (H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k})_{(1)}L_j \\
& -H_{j+k(1)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i + DH_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -(H_{k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{k(0)}L_0 - DH_k)_{(1)}L_{i+j} + H_{i+j+k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 \\
& -DH_{i+j+k} - H_{k(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) + 2H_{k(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_j) - 2H_{k(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_j) \\
& +H_{i+k(0)}L_j - H_{j+k(1)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i + DH_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{k(1)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) - 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(1)}L_{i+j}) + 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(1)}L_{i+j}) - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} \\
& +H_{i+j+k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 - DH_{i+j+k} - H_{k(1)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) \\
& +H_{i+k(0)}L_j - H_{j+k(1)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i + DH_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{i+j+k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 + H_{i+k(0)}L_j - H_{j+k(1)}DL_i \\
& +2H_{j+k(0)}L_i \\
\equiv \ & D(H_{i+j+k(1)}L_0 - H_{j+k(1)}L_i) - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} - H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 + H_{i+k(0)}L_j \\
& +H_{j+k(0)}L_i \\
\equiv \ & H_{i+k(0)}L_j - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{j+k(0)}L_i - H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 \\
\equiv \ & \begin{cases} H_{i+k(0)}L_0 - H_{k(0)}L_i + H_{k(0)}L_i - H_{i+k(0)}L_0, & j = 0 \\ H_{0(0)}L_j - H_{k(0)}L_{j+i} + H_{j+k(0)}L_i - H_{j(0)}L_0, & j \neq 0,\ i+k = 0 \\ -H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{j+k(0)}L_i, & j \neq 0,\ i+k \neq 0 \end{cases} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s^0_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}L_{j(0)}H_k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s^0_{ij}, g^0_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j})_{(0)}H_k - L_{i(0)}(L_{j(0)}H_k + H_{k(1)}DL_j - 2H_{k(0)}L_j \\
& -DH_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -L_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(0)}H_k) - (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}DL_j + 2(L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_j + L_{i(0)}DH_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & L_{0(0)}(H_{k(1)}DL_{i+j} - 2H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} - DH_{i+j+k}) + (H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i \\
& -DH_{i+k})_{(1)}DL_j - 2(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k})_{(0)}L_j \\
& -D(H_{j+k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j+k})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\equiv\ & (L_{0(0)}H_k)_{(1)}DL_{i+j} - 2(L_{0(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{i+j} - L_{0(0)}DH_{i+j+k} \\
& -H_{k(1)}(L_{i(0)}DL_j) + H_{i+k(0)}DL_j - 2H_{k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) + 4H_{k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) \\
& -H_{j+k(1)}D^2L_i + H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + D^2H_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv\ & -(H_{k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{k(0)}L_0 - DH_k)_{(1)}DL_{i+j} + 2(H_{k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{k(0)}L_0 \\
& -DH_k)_{(0)}L_{i+j} + D(H_{i+j+k(1)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0 - DH_{i+j+k}) \\
& -H_{k(1)}D(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) + H_{i+k(0)}DL_j + 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) - H_{j+k(1)}D^2L_i \\
& +H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + D^2H_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv\ & H_{k(1)}(L_{0(0)}DL_{i+j}) - 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(1)}DL_{i+j}) + 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(1)}DL_{i+j}) \\
& -H_{k(0)}DL_{i+j} + 2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) - 4H_{k(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) + H_{i+j+k(1)}D^2L_0 \\
& -H_{i+j+k(0)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}DL_0 - H_{k(1)}(L_{p(0)}DL_{i+j}) + H_{i+k(0)}DL_j \\
& +2H_{k(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) - H_{j+k(1)}D^2L_i + H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}DL_i \\
\equiv\ & -H_{k(0)}DL_{i+j} + H_{i+j+k(1)}D^2L_0 - H_{i+j+k(0)}DL_0 - 2H_{i+j+k(0)}DL_0 \\
& +H_{i+k(0)}DL_j - H_{j+k(1)}D^2L_i + H_{j+k(0)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(0)}DL_i \\
\equiv\ & D(H_{i+k(0)}L_j - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{j+k(0)}L_i - H_{i+j+k(0)}L_0) + D^2(H_{i+j+k(1)}L_0) \\
& -D^2(H_{j+k(1)}L_i) \\
\equiv\ & 0\ mod(S_{_1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s^1_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}H_k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s^1_{ij}, g^0_{jk})_w \\
=\ & (L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{i+j})_{(0)}H_k - L_{i(1)}(L_{j(0)}H_k + H_{k(1)}DL_j - 2H_{k(0)}L_j - DH_{j+k}) \\
=\ & -L_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}H_k) - L_{i+j(0)}H_k - (L_{i(1)}H_k)_{(1)}DL_j - (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(2)}DL_j \\
& +2(L_{i(1)}H_k)_{(0)}L_j + 2(L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_j + D(L_{i(1)}H_{j+k}) + L_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv\ & L_{i(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_j - H_{j+k}) + H_{k(1)}DL_{i+j} - 2H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} - DH_{i+j+k} \\
& +(H_{k(1)}L_i)_{(1)}DL_j - H_{i+k(1)}DL_j + (H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k})_{(2)}DL_j \\
& -2(H_{k(1)}L_i - H_{i+k})_{(0)}L_j - 2(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k})_{(1)}L_j \\
& -D(H_{j+k(1)}L_i - H_{i+j+k}) + L_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv\ & (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_j + D(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j}) - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{k(1)}(L_{i(1)}DL_j) \\
& -D(H_{i+k(1)}L_j) - H_{k(0)}(L_{i(2)}DL_j) + H_{i+k(0)}L_j - 2H_{k(1)}(L_{i(1)}DL_j) \\
& +2H_{i+k(1)}DL_j + H_{k(0)}(L_{i(2)}DL_j) - 2H_{k(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) + 2H_{k(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) \\
& -2H_{k(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_j) + 4H_{k(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_j) - 2H_{i+k(0)}L_j - D(H_{j+k(1)}L_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\equiv\ & -(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k})_{(1)}L_j + D(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j}) - H_{k(1)}DL_{i+j} \\
& -H_{k(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_j) - D(H_{i+k(1)}L_j) - H_{i+k(0)}L_j + 2H_{i+k(1)}DL_j + H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} \\
& -D(H_{j+k(1)}L_i) \\
\equiv\ & D(H_{i+k(1)}L_j - H_{j+k(1)}L_i) \\
\equiv\ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s^1_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}H_k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s^1_{ij}, g^1_{jk})_w \\
=\ & (L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{i+j})_{(1)}H_k - L_{i(1)}(L_{j(1)}H_k + H_{k(1)}L_j - H_{j+k}) \\
=\ & -L_{i+j(1)}H_k - (L_{i(1)}H_k)_{(1)}L_j + L_{i(1)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv\ & H_{k(1)}L_{i+j} - H_{i+j+k} + (H_{k(1)}L_i - H_{i+k})_{(1)}L_j - H_{j+k(1)}L_i + H_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv\ & (H_{k(1)}L_{i+j} - H_{i+k(1)}L_j) + (H_{k(1)}L_{i+j} - H_{j+k(1)}L_i) \\
\equiv\ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g^0_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g^0_{ij}, q^0_{jkm})_w \\
=\ & (L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})_{(0)}L_{k+m} - L_{i(0)}(H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} \\
& +H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} - H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m} - H_{j+k(0)}L_m) \\
=\ & H_{j(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{k+m}) - 2H_{j(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{k+m}) - (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} \\
& +(L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} + (L_{i(0)}H_{j+k})_{(0)}L_m \\
\equiv\ & -H_{j(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{k+m}) - H_{k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+m}) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
& +H_{j+k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_m) \\
\equiv\ & (-H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} + H_{j+k(0)}L_i)_{(0)}L_m \\
\equiv\ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g^0_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g^0_{i,j+k}, -q^0_{jkm})_w \\
=\ & (L_{i(0)}H_{j+k} + H_{j+k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j+k})_{(0)}L_m \\
& -L_{i(0)}(H_{j+k(0)}L_m - H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} - H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= H_{j+k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_m) - 2H_{j+k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_m) + (L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} \\
&\quad -(L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} + (L_{i(0)}H_j)_{(0)}L_{k+m} \\
&\equiv -H_{j+k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_m) + H_{k(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+m}) - H_{0(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
&\quad +H_{j(0)}(L_{i(0)}L_{k+m}) \\
&\equiv (-H_{j+k(0)}L_0 + H_{k(0)}L_j - H_{0(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_k)_{(0)}L_{i+m} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g^0_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(g^0_{ij}, q^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ &(L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - L_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}L_k - H_{0(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
= \ &-H_{j(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_k) + 2H_{j(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_k) - 2H_{j(0)}(L_{i(1)}L_k) + H_{i+j(0)}L_k \\
&+(L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &-(H_{j(1)}L_i)_{(0)}L_k - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{i+j(0)}L_k - (H_{0(1)}DL_i - 2H_{0(0)}L_i \\
&-DH_i)_{(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &-(H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})_{(0)}L_k - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{i+j(0)}L_k + H_{0(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+k}) - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g^0_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}H_k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(g^0_{ij}, r^0_{jk})_w \\
= \ &(L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})_{(0)}H_k - L_{i(0)}(H_{j(0)}H_k - H_{0(0)}H_{j+k}) \\
= \ &-H_{j(0)}(L_{i(0)}H_k) + (L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &H_{j(0)}(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k}) - (H_{0(1)}DL_i - 2H_{0(0)}L_i - DH_i)_{(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ &(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(1)}DL_i - 2(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_i - H_{j(0)}DH_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}(L_{i(0)}H_{j+k}) \\
\equiv \ &(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(1)}DL_i - 2(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(0)}L_i - H_{j(0)}DH_{i+k} \\
&-H_{0(0)}(H_{j+k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j+k}) \\
\equiv \ &0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g^0_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}H_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^0_{ij}, r^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})_{(1)}H_k - L_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}H_k) \\
= \ & -H_{j(1)}(L_{i(0)}H_k) + H_{i+j(0)}H_k \\
\equiv \ & H_{j(1)}(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k}) + H_{i+j(0)}H_k \\
\equiv \ & (H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(2)}DL_i - 2(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_i - H_{j(0)}H_{i+k} + H_{i+j(0)}H_k \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(0)}H_{i+k} + H_{i+j(0)}H_k \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g^1_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^1_{ij}, q^0_{jkm})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j})_{(0)}L_{k+m} - L_{i(1)}(H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} + H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} \\
& -H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m} - H_{j+k(0)}L_m) \\
= \ & -(L_{i(0)}H_j)_{(1)}L_{k+m} + (H_{j(1)}L_i)_{(0)}L_{k+m} - H_{i+j(0)}L_{k+m} - (L_{i(1)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} \\
& -(L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_{j+m} + (L_{i(1)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} + (L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k+m} \\
& +(L_{i(1)}H_{j+k})_{(0)}L_m + (L_{i(0)}H_{j+k})_{(1)}L_m \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(0)}L_{i+k+m} - H_{k(0)}L_{i+j+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k+m} + H_{j+k(0)}L_{i+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g^1_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^1_{i,j+k}, -q^0_{jkm})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(1)}H_{j+k} + H_{j+k(1)}L_i - H_{i+j+k})_{(0)}L_m - L_{i(1)}(H_{j+k(0)}L_m - H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} \\
& -H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & -(L_{i(0)}H_{j+k})_{(1)}L_m + (H_{j+k(1)}L_i)_{(0)}L_m - H_{i+j+k(0)}L_m + (L_{i(1)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} \\
& +(L_{i(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_{j+m} - (L_{i(1)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} - (L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k+m} \\
& +(L_{i(1)}H_j)_{(0)}L_{k+m} + (L_{i(0)}H_j)_{(1)}L_{k+m} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j+k(0)}L_{i+m} + H_{k(0)}L_{i+j+m} - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k+m} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g^1_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^1_{ij}, q^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - L_{i(1)}(H_{j(1)}L_k - H_{0(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
= \ & H_{j(1)}(L_{i(1)}L_k) - H_{i+j(1)}L_k + (L_{i(1)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{j(1)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(1)}L_k - (H_{0(1)}L_i)_{(1)}L_{j+k} + H_{i(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{j(1)}L_{i+k} - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j+k} - H_{i+j(1)}L_k + H_{i(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g^1_{ij} \wedge r^0_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}H_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^1_{ij}, r^0_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j})_{(0)}H_k - L_{i(1)}(H_{j(0)}H_k - H_{0(0)}H_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -L_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}H_k) + H_{j(1)}(L_{i(0)}H_k) - H_{j(0)}(L_{i(1)}H_k) - H_{i+j(0)}H_k \\
& +(L_{i(1)}H_0)_{(0)}H_{j+k} + (L_{i(0)}H_0)_{(1)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(1)}(H_{k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+k}) + H_{j(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_i - H_{i+k}) \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}H_k - (H_{0(1)}L_i)_{(0)}H_{j+k} + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(2)}DL_i + 3(H_{j(0)}H_k)_{(1)}L_i + H_{j(1)}DH_{i+k} - H_{j(0)}H_{i+k} \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}H_k - H_{0(1)}(L_{i(0)}H_{j+k}) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i(1)}H_{j+k}) + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & (H_{0(0)}H_{j+k})_{(1)}L_i + H_{0(1)}(H_{j+k(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j+k(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j+k}) \\
& -H_{0(0)}(H_{j+k(1)}L_i - H_{i+j+k}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $g^1_{ij} \wedge r^1_{jk},\ w = L_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}H_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g^1_{ij}, r^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j})_{(1)}H_k - L_{i(1)}(H_{j(1)}H_k) \\
= \ & H_{j(1)}(L_{i(1)}H_k) - H_{i+j(1)}H_k \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(1)}(H_{k(1)}L_i - H_{i+k}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^0_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^0_{ijk}, s^0_{j+k,m})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(0)}L_m \\
& -H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(0)}L_m - L_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(0)}L_m) - H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(0)}L_m) - H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(0)}L_m) \\
& +H_{i(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & (H_{j(0)}L_{i-j} - H_{i+j(0)}L_{-j} - H_{0(0)}L_i + H_{i(0)}L_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^0_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-q^0_{ijk}, s^0_{km})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(0)}L_m \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(0)}L_m - L_{0(0)}L_{k+m}) \\
= \ & -H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(0)}L_m) - H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(0)}L_m) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(0)}L_m) \\
& +H_{i+j(0)}(L_{0(0)}L_{k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & (-H_{j(0)}L_i - H_{i(0)}L_j + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j} + H_{i+j(0)}L_0)_{(0)}L_{k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^1_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(1)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^0_{ijk}, s^1_{j+k,m})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(1)}L_m \\
& -H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(1)}L_m - L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & H_{j(0)}L_{i+k+m} - H_{i+j(0)}L_{k+m} - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k+m} + H_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge s^1_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(1)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-q^0_{ijk}, s^1_{km})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(1)}L_m \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(1)}L_m - L_{k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(0)}L_{i+k+m} - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k+m} + H_{i+j(0)}L_{k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^0_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(0)}H_m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (q^0_{ijk}, g^0_{j+k,m})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(0)}H_m \\
& -H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(0)}H_m + H_{m(1)}DL_{j+k} - 2H_{m(0)}L_{j+k} - DH_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(0)}H_m) - H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(0)}H_m) - H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(0)}H_m) \\
& -H_{i(0)}(H_{m(1)}DL_{j+k}) + 2H_{i(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{j+k}) + H_{i(0)}DH_{j+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & H_{j(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{i+k}) - H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_k) - H_{0(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{i+j+k}) \\
& +H_{i(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{j+k}) \\
\equiv \ & H_{0(0)}(H_{i+m(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j+m(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j+m(0)}L_k \\
& -H_{m(0)}L_{i+j+k}) \\
\equiv \ & \begin{cases} H_{0(0)}(H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+i+m} + H_{j+m(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{k+i+m} \\ \qquad\qquad - H_{m(0)}L_{i+j+k}), \qquad i+m=0 \\ H_{0(0)}(-H_{j(0)}L_{k+i+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k+m} + H_{j+m(0)}L_{k+i} \\ \qquad\qquad - H_{m(0)}L_{i+j+k}), \qquad i+m\neq 0 \end{cases} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^0_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(0)}H_m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-q^0_{ijk}, g^0_{km})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(0)}H_m \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(0)}H_m + H_{m(1)}DL_k - 2H_{m(0)}L_k - DH_{k+m}) \\
= \ & -H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(0)}H_m) - H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(0)}H_m) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(0)}H_m) \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(1)}DL_k) + 2H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_k) + H_{i+j(0)}DH_{k+m} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{i+k}) - H_{i(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{j+k}) + H_{0(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_{i+j+k}) \\
& +H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(0)}L_k) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^1_{j+k,m},\ w = H_{i(0)}L_{j+k(1)}H_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^0_{ijk}, g^1_{j+k,m})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(1)}H_m \\
& -H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(1)}H_m + H_{m(1)}L_{j+k} - H_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(1)}H_m) - H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(1)}H_m) - H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(1)}H_m) \\
& -H_{i(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_{j+k}) + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{j(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_{i+k}) + H_{j(0)}H_{i+k+m} + H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_k) + H_{i+j(0)}H_{m+k} \\
& +H_{0(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_{i+j+k}) + H_{0(0)}H_{i+j+k+m} - H_{i(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_{j+k}) + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^0_{ijk} \wedge g^1_{km},\ w = H_{i+j(0)}L_{k(1)}H_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-q^0_{ijk}, g^1_{km})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})_{(1)}H_m \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(L_{k(1)}H_m + H_{m(1)}L_k - H_{k+m}) \\
= \ & -H_{i(0)}(L_{j+k(1)}H_m) - H_{j(0)}(L_{i+k(1)}H_m) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j+k(1)}H_m) \\
& -H_{i+j(0)}(H_{m(1)}L_k) + H_{i+j(0)}H_{k+m} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^1_{ij} \wedge s^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^1_{ij}, s^0_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})_{(0)}L_k - H_{i(1)}(L_{j(0)}L_k - L_{0(0)}L_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -H_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}L_k) - H_{0(1)}(L_{i+j(0)}L_k) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(1)}L_k) + (H_{i(1)}L_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k} \\
& +H_{i(0)}(L_{0(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
\equiv \ & -H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} - H_{0(1)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k}) + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} + (H_{0(1)}L_i)_{(0)}L_{j+k} \\
& +H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{0(1)}(L_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k}) + H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} + H_{0(1)}(L_{i(0)}L_{j+k}) - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv \ & 0\ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^1_{ij} \wedge s^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^1_{ij}, s^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - H_{i(1)}(L_{j(1)}L_k - L_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -H_{0(1)}(L_{i+j(1)}L_k) + H_{i(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{0(1)}L_{i+j+k} + H_{i(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^1_{ij} \wedge g^0_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(0)}H_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^1_{ij}, g^0_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})_{(0)}H_k - H_{i(1)}(L_{j(0)}H_k + H_{k(1)}DL_j - 2H_{k(0)}L_j - DH_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -H_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}H_k) - H_{0(1)}(L_{i+j(0)}H_k) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(1)}H_k) - H_{i(1)}(H_{k(1)}DL_j) \\
& +2H_{i(1)}(H_{k(0)}L_j) + H_{i(1)}DH_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{i(0)}(L_{j(1)}H_k) - H_{0(1)}(L_{i+j(0)}H_k) + H_{0(0)}(L_{i+j(1)}H_k) - H_{i(0)}(H_{k(2)}DL_j) \\
& +2H_{i(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_j) + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{i(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_j) - H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} + H_{0(1)}(H_{k(1)}DL_{i+j} - 2H_{k(0)}L_{i+j} - DH_{i+j+k}) \\
& -H_{0(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j}) + H_{0(0)}H_{i+j+k} + H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{0(1)}(H_{k(1)}DL_{i+j}) - 2H_{0(1)}(H_{k(0)}L_{i+j}) \\
\equiv \ & H_{0(0)}(H_{k(2)}DL_{i+j}) - 2H_{0(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $q^1_{ij} \wedge g^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}L_{j(1)}H_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^1_{ij}, g^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})_{(1)}H_k - H_{i(1)}(L_{j(1)}H_k + H_{k(1)}L_j - H_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -H_{0(1)}(L_{i+j(1)}H_k) - H_{i(1)}(H_{k(1)}L_j) + H_{i(1)}H_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & H_{0(1)}(H_{k(1)}L_{i+j}) - H_{0(1)}H_{i+j+k} \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^0_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r^0_{ij}, q^0_{jkm})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}H_j - H_{0(0)}H_{i+j})_{(0)}L_{k+m} - H_{i(0)}(H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} + H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} \\
& -H_{j+k(0)}L_m - H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & -H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j(0)}L_{k+m}) - H_{0(0)}(H_{i+k(0)}L_{j+m}) + H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j+k(0)}L_m) \\
& +H_{0(0)}(H_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^0_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r^0_{i,j+k}, -q^0_{jkm})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}H_{j+k} - H_{0(0)}H_{i+j+k})_{(0)}L_m - H_{i(0)}(H_{j+k(0)}L_m - H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} \\
& -H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} + H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & -H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j+k(0)}L_m) + H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j(0)}L_{k+m}) + H_{0(0)}(H_{i+k(0)}L_{j+m}) \\
& -H_{0(0)}(H_{i(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^0_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(0)}H_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r^0_{ij}, q^1_{jk})_w \\
= \ & (H_{i(0)}H_j - H_{0(0)}H_{i+j})_{(1)}L_k - H_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}L_k - H_{0(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
= \ & -H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j(1)}L_k) + (H_{i(0)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k} \\
\equiv \ & -H_{0(0)}(H_{i+j(1)}L_k) + H_{0(0)}(H_{i(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^1_{ij} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(0)}L_{k+m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r^1_{ij}, q^0_{jkm})_{w_1} \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}H_j)_{(0)}L_{k+m} - H_{i(1)}(H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} + H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} - H_{j+k(0)}L_m \\
& -H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & -H_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}L_{k+m}) - (H_{i(1)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} - H_{i(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_{j+m}) \\
& +(H_{i(1)}H_{j+k})_{(0)}L_m + H_{i(0)}(H_{j+k(1)}L_m) + (H_{i(1)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} \\
& +H_{i(0)}(H_{0(1)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^1_{i,j+k} \wedge q^0_{jkm},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j+k(0)}L_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r^1_{i,j+k}, -q^0_{jkm})_{w_2} \\
= \ & (H_{i(1)}H_{j+k})_{(0)}L_m + H_{i(1)}(H_{j(0)}L_{k+m} + H_{k(0)}L_{j+m} - H_{j+k(0)}L_m \\
& -H_{0(0)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
= \ & -H_{i(0)}(H_{j+k(1)}L_m) + (H_{i(1)}H_j)_{(0)}L_{k+m} + H_{i(0)}(H_{j(1)}L_{k+m}) \\
& +(H_{i(1)}H_k)_{(0)}L_{j+m} + H_{i(0)}(H_{k(1)}L_{j+m}) - (H_{i(1)}H_0)_{(0)}L_{j+k+m} \\
& -H_{i(0)}(H_{0(1)}L_{j+k+m}) \\
\equiv \ & 0 \ mod(S_{_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $r^1_{ij} \wedge q^1_{jk},\ w = H_{i(1)}H_{j(1)}L_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(r^1_{ij}, q^1_{jk})_w &= (H_{i(1)}H_j)_{(1)}L_k - H_{i(1)}(H_{j(1)}L_k - H_{0(1)}L_{j+k}) \\
&= (H_{i(1)}H_0)_{(1)}L_{j+k} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_{_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $x \in \{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}, n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{(n)}s^0_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(L_{i(0)}L_j - L_{0(0)}L_{i+j}) \\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(L_{i(t)}L_j - L_{0(t)}L_{i+j}) \\
&\equiv nx_{(n-1)}(L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{0(1)}L_{i+j}) \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(s_{_1}), \\
x_{(n)}s^1_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(L_{i(1)}L_j - L_{i+j}) \\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(L_{i(1+t)}L_j) \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_{_1}), \\
x_{(n)}r^0_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(H_{i(0)}H_j - H_{0(0)}H_{i+j}) \\
&= = -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(H_{i(t)}H_j - H_{0(t)}H_{i+j}) \\
&= nx_{(n-1)}(H_{i(1)}H_j - H_{0(1)}H_{i+j}) \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_{_1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{(n)}r^1_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(H_{i(1)}H_j)\\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(H_{i(1+t)}H_j)\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}),\\
x_{(n)}g^1_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(1)}L_i - H_{i+j})\\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(L_{i(1+t)}H_j + H_{j(1+t)}L_i)\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}),\\
x_{(n)}q^0_{ijk} &= x_{(n)}(H_{i(0)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(0)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(0)}L_k - H_{0(0)}L_{i+j+k})\\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(H_{i(t)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(t)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(t)}L_k\\
&\quad -H_{0(t)}L_{i+j+k})\\
&= nx_{(n-1)}(H_{i(1)}L_{j+k} + H_{j(1)}L_{i+k} - H_{i+j(1)}L_k - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j+k})\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}),\\
x_{(n)}q^1_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(H_{i(1)}L_j - H_{0(1)}L_{i+j})\\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(H_{i(1+t)}L_j - H_{0(1+t)}L_{i+j})\\
&\equiv 0\ mod(S_{_1}),\\
x_{(n)}g^0_{ij} &= x_{(n)}(L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})\\
&= -\sum_{t\geq 1}(-1)^t\binom{n}{t}x_{(n-t)}(L_{i(t)}H_j + H_{j(1+t)}DL_i - 2H_{j(t)}L_i)\\
&\quad -nx_{(n-1)}H_{i+j}\\
&= nx_{(n-1)}(L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(2)}DL_i - 2H_{j(1)}L_i) - nx_{(n-1)}H_{i+j}\\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & n>2\\ 2x_{(1)}(L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(2)}DL_i - 2H_{j(1)}L_i) - 2x_{(1)}H_{i+j}, & n=2, \end{cases}\\
g^0_{ij(n)}x &= (L_{i(0)}H_j + H_{j(1)}DL_i - 2H_{j(0)}L_i - DH_{i+j})_{(n)}x\\
&= -nH_{j(1)}(L_{i(n-1)}x) + (n+1)H_{j(0)}(L_{i(n)}x) + nH_{i+j(n-1)}x\\
&= -nH_{j(1)}(L_{i(n-1)}x) + nH_{i+j(n-1)}x\\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & n>2\\ -2H_{j(1)}(L_{i(1)}x) + 2H_{i+j(1)}x, & n=2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g^0_{ij(2)}L_k &\equiv -2H_{j(1)}L_{i+k} + 2H_{i+j(1)}L_k \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_1), \\
g^0_{ij(2)}H_k &= -2H_{j(1)}(L_{i(1)}H_k) + 2H_{i+j(1)}H_k \\
&\equiv 2H_{j(1)}(H_{k(1)}L_i) - 2H_{j(1)}H_{i+k} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_1), \\
L_{k(2)}g^0_{ij} &= 2L_{k(1)}(L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(2)}DL_i - 2H_{j(1)}L_i) - 2L_{k(1)}H_{i+j} \\
&= 2(L_{k(1)}L_i)_{(1)}H_j + 2(L_{k(1)}H_j)_{(2)}DL_i - 4(L_{k(1)}H_j)_{(1)}L_i - 2L_{k(1)}H_{i+j} \\
&\equiv 2L_{i+k(1)}H_j - 2(H_{j(1)}L_k)_{(2)}DL_i + 2H_{j+k(2)}DL_i + 4(H_{j(1)}L_k)_{(1)}L_i \\
&\quad -4H_{j+k(1)}L_i + 2H_{i+j(1)}L_k - 2H_{i+j+k} \\
&\equiv -2H_{j(1)}L_{i+k} + 2H_{i+j(1)}L_k \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_1), \\
H_{k(2)}g^0_{ij} &= 2H_{k(1)}(L_{i(1)}H_j + H_{j(2)}DL_i - 2H_{j(1)}L_i) - 2H_{k(1)}H_{i+j} \\
&\equiv -2H_{k(1)}(H_{j(1)}L_i) + 2H_{k(1)}H_{i+j} \\
&\equiv 0 \ mod(S_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore S_1 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $C(\{L_i, H_j\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}},\ N = 2)$.
(iii) This part follows from Theorem 3.19 and Proposition 4.1. □

Not each Lie conformal algebra is embeddable into its universal enveloping associative conformal algebra, see, for example, [30].

Remark In the Examples 4.4 and 4.5, if we replace the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ with an arbitrary field of characteristic 0, then all results hold.

References

[1] William W. Adams and Philippe Loustaunau, An introduction to Gröbner bases, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 3, American Mathematical Society (AMS), 1994.

[2] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, Nuclear Phys. B241(1984), 333-380.

[3] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, Adv. Math., 29(1978), 178-218.

[4] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, Algebra i Logika, 15(1976), 117-142.

[5] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: Some new results, Proceedings of the Second International Congress in Algebra and Combinatorics, World Scientific, (2008), 35-56.

[6] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and their calculation, Bull. Math. Sci., 4(2014), 325-395.

[7] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Free associative conformal algebras, Proceedings of the 2nd Tainan-Moscow Algebra and Combinatorics Workshop, Tainan, Springer-Verlag, Hong Kong, 1997, pp.13-25.

[8] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and composition lemma for associative conformal algebras: an example, Contemp. Math., 264(2000), 63-90.

[9] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, Composition Diamond Lemma for associative conformal algebras, Journal of Algebra, 272(2004), 739-774.

[10] L.A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner and Gröbner-Shirshov bases in Algebra and Conformal algebras, Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, 6(2000), N3, 669-706.

[11] L.A. Bokut, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner-Shirshov bases: from their incipiency to the present, J. Math. Sci., 116(1)(2003), 2894-2916.

[12] L.A. Bokut, P.S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner-Shirshov bases, conformal algebras and pseudo-algebras, J. Math. Sci., 131(5)(2005), 5962-6003.

[13] L.A. Bokut, G. Kukin, Algorithmic and Combinatorial algebra, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.

[14] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the monster, Proc. Nat. Acda. Sci. U.S.A., 83(1986), 3068-3071.

[15] R.E. Borcherds, Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras, Invent. Math., 109(1992), 405-444.

[16] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, Aequationes Math., 4(1970), 374-383.

[17] B. Buchberger, G.E. Collins, R. Loos, R. Albrecht, Computer algebra, symbolic and algebraic computation, Computing Supplementum, Vol.4, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[18] B. Buchberger, Franz Winkler, Gröbner bases and applications, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol.251, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[19] D. Chubarov, Applications of the composition lemma for the universal enveloping conformal algebras, Master degree thesis, Novosibirsk State University, 2000.

[20] P.M. Cohn, Universal Algebra, 2nd Edition, in: Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 6, Reidel, DordrechtC Boston, MA, 1981.

[21] David A. Cox, John Little, Donal O'Shea, Ideals, varieties and algorithms: An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[22] David Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Math., Vol.150, Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[23] Guangzhe Fan, Yucai Su, Henan Wu, Loop Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie conformal algebra, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 55(12)(2014), 123508-1–123508-8.

[24] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, in: Pure Appl. Math., vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[25] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field if characteristic zero, I, II, Ann. of Math., 79(1964), 109-203, 205-326.

[26] V. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10., AMS, Providence, RI, 1996.

[27] V. Kac, The idea of locality, in: M.-D. Doebner, et al. (Eds.), Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, World Scientific, Singapore, (1997), 16-32.

[28] Newman, M.H.A.: On theories with a combinatorial definition of 'equivalence'. Ann. Math., 43(1942), 223-243.

[29] M. Roitman, On free conformal and vertex algebras, Journal of Algebra, 217(1999), 496-527.

[30] M. Roitman, Universal enveloping conformal algebras, Selecta Math. (N.S), 6(3)(2000), 319-345.

[31] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for ε-algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Z., 3(1962), 132-137.

[32] Selected works of A.I. Shirshov, Eds L.A. Bokut, V. Latyshev, I. Shestakov, E. Zelmanov, Trs M. Bremner, M. Kochetov, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2009.

[33] A.S. Wightman, Quantum field theory in terms of vacuum expectation values, Phys. Rev., 101(1956), 860-866.

[34] Henan Wu, Qiufan Chen, Xiaoqing Yue, Loop Virasoro Lie Conformal Algebra, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 55(1)(2014), 011706-1–011706-8.